

In this Issue :

Brighter than a
Thousand Suns - p. 2

Finding a Way Out - p. 3

The Party System - - p. 4

Freedom

THE ANARCHIST WEEKLY

Vol. 19, No. 24

June 14th, 1958

Threepence

Five Prisoners Appeal to World Opinion from the Depths of KENYA'S HELL PRISONS

IT is five years since the Man Mau troubles in Kenya were at their height; and since the public memory is short the record of mass punishments, beatings and attacks by heavy bombers is long-forgotten by almost everyone. Kenya is again a lawfully abiding Colony in which white minority rule is unchallenged and peaceful exploitation continues its ordered course.

But what of those men who were unfortunate enough to be captured and still remain within the walls of Her Majesty's prisons in Kenya? Some of these men are political prisoners who have been incarcerated for about five years at Lokitaung in the desert of the Northern Province, 600 miles from their homes.

A letter (about 900 words in length) was published in the *Observer* of June 8th from five such men, which if it is true (and to judge from past records there is no reason to doubt its validity), is a further telling indictment of the sort of treatment meted out by British representatives in Crown Colonies to those who fall foul of the laws, regulations and prohibitions which govern the lives of so many unwilling subjects of the British Government.

But the letter has much more to tell:

"During the past five years we have suffered a great deal. We have been beaten in the most brutal manner. Our rations are inadequate, we do not get vegetables or fruits. We live on mealie meal and beans of the worst quality. Owing to insufficient and unbalanced diet we have become prone to many kinds of diseases. Most of us have been ill many times, and some for long periods. Some have almost lost their eyesight. In all these diseases no adequate medical examination and treatment is provided, and the Government do not provide spectacles for those who need them except in the worst cases."

Brutality, appalling food and almost no medical attention. Democratic man's inhumanity to man. But men can continue to live in such conditions, if only as animals. The letter however does not end there:

"... about five months ago, water became so scarce in Lokitaung that it had to be rationed. We prisoners were allowed four gallons per person a day, which is insufficient for people doing hard labour in hot climate.

On April 23 the District Officer, Mr. C. L. Ryland, who is in charge of our prison, curtailed our water ration to two gallons per person. We appealed to him but he refused to listen to us. The fol-

Europeans only. Knowing very well that the water is unfit for human beings, we refused, and demanded the clean water which we have been drinking in the past five years and which is now reserved for six Europeans only. The D.O. maintains that we cannot get any water from the clean well. Now as we write this letter we are entering our fourth day without water in a desert while the now 'European' well is full of clean water."

No further information as to the results of this treatment of prisoners in Lokitaung is forthcoming as yet. If it is true, then the history of barbarism in the twentieth century, which has generally been regarded as the prerogative of outright Fascist countries, is still ignobly continued in the dark, festering corners of the lands which are ruled by Her

Majesty's duly elected Government. It is the kind of barbarism which must inevitably lead to the deaths of those on whom it is inflicted. Death by starvation, thirst or disease. It is little different from the barbarism which took place in the savage hell-holes of Europe, in the gas-chambers of the German Reich. The effects can be the same.

The writers of the letter, after four days without water have few doubts left:

"It now appears to us that it is the intention of the Kenya Government to starve us to death... If that is not the Government intention we cannot understand why they should continue to keep us here without water when there are many other prisons in other parts of the country where water is plentiful."

The final paragraph of the letter

"Inequality is the source of all revolutions, for no compensation can make up for inequality."

—ARISTOTLE

is a desperate application to an abstraction which can, but seldom does, exert its influence for the good of suffering humanity.

"We consider this the most brutal and inhuman treatment ever compared to that of the Nazi concentration camp. As we have nowhere to appeal we now appeal to the High Court of the World Public opinion—Yours faithfully,

(sgd.) B. M. KAGGIA, PAUL J. IVGE
FRED KUBAI, KUNGA KAR
UMBA, KARIOKI I. CHATARA

C/o H.M. Prison, Lokitaung N.F.D.
Via Kitale, Kenya."

An appeal to nowhere and yet to everywhere, and to everyone. Let us hope that a sufficiently great proportion of "everyone" in Britain can break free from the morass of indifference which is the general characteristic, and force the responsible authorities to have the facts investigated and give an accurate report of the findings, and take action to prove at least that inhumanity may still be subdued by the conscience of public opinion and disgust.

The Bus Strike in Perspective

THE dispute which has brought about the current bus strike is not by any means a remarkable one. The workers in nationalised industries perhaps more than others have become accustomed to regular, almost annual wage increases designed to compensate them for losses

is only by electoral luck that it happens to be a Tory government, that the time has come when assumption one must go, the rising price spiral must at least be slowed down, and with it assumption two; no more automatic wage increases. Hence the collapse of assumption three;

The result was that the bus strike, although supported by the majority of the workers, was launched officially, and under the firm control of the leaders. It is not a strike of workers against definite grievances which demand correction, although the grievances are there

In this Issue :

Brighter than a
Thousand Suns - p. 2

Finding a Way Out - p. 3

The Party System - - p. 4

Freedom

THE ANARCHIST WEEKLY

Vol. 19, No. 24

June 14th, 1958

Five Prisoners Appeal to World Opinion from the Depths KENYA'S HELL PRISONS

IT is five years since the Man Mau troubles in Kenya were at their height; and since the public memory is short the record of mass punishments, beatings and attacks by heavy bombers is long-forgotten by almost everyone. Kenya is again a lawfully abiding Colony in which white minority rule is unchallenged and peaceful exploitation continues its ordered course.

But what of those men who were unfortunate enough to be captured and still remain within the walls of Her Majesty's prisons in Kenya? Some of these men are political prisoners who have been incarcerated for about five years at Lokitaung in the desert of the Northern Province, 600 miles from their homes.

A letter (about 900 words in length) was published in the *Observer* of June 8th from five such men, which if it is true (and to judge from past records there is no reason to doubt its validity), is a further telling indictment of the sort of treatment meted out by British representatives in Crown Colonies to those who fall foul of the laws, regulations and prohibitions which govern the lives of so many unwilling subjects of the British Government.

The letter outlines some of the conditions which exist in Lokitaung, starting by making the point that the prison is in the Twkana District which is closed to all except those with special permits, and the families and friends of prisoners are not permitted to visit them—nor in fact is the Prison Visiting Committee.

Writing and receiving letters (theoretically once a month) is a farce, and mail may take months in either direction. In Britain this state of affairs alone would create a *furore* in the press.

But the letter has much more to tell:

"During the past five years we have suffered a great deal. We have been beaten in the most brutal manner. Our rations are inadequate, we do not get vegetables or fruits. We live on mealie meal and beans of the worst quality. Owing to insufficient and unbalanced diet we have become prone to many kinds of diseases. Most of us have been ill many times, and some for long periods. Some have almost lost their eyesight. In all these diseases no adequate medical examination and treatment is provided, and the Government do not provide spectacles for those who need them except in the worst cases."

Brutality, appalling food and almost no medical attention. Democratic man's inhumanity to man. But men can continue to live in such conditions, if only as animals. The letter however does not end there:

"... about five months ago, water became so scarce in Lokitaung that it had to be rationed. We prisoners were allowed four gallons per person a day, which is insufficient for people doing hard labour in hot climate.

On April 23 the District Officer, Mr. C. L. Ryland, who is in charge of our prison, curtailed our water ration to two gallons per person. We appealed to him but he refused to listen to us. The following day the D.O. said we were not to get any water at all. We demanded to see him, but he refused.

On the twenty-fifth we went to the well for our share of water. The D.O. came to the well and told us to draw our water from a nearby old and discarded well which had long ago been condemned by the doctors and in which dogs' carcasses and filth have been thrown for years. Vehicles are also washed on the top of the well, the dirty and oily water and petrol returning into the well. The well has no lid and when it rains the flood collects rubbish and excrement into it.

The D.O. told us the clean well is for

Europeans only. Knowing very well that the water is unfit for human beings, we refused, and demanded the clean water which we have been drinking in the past five years and which is now reserved for six Europeans only. The D.O. maintains that we cannot get any water from the clean well. Now as we write this letter we are entering our fourth day without water in a desert while the now 'European' well is full of clean water."

No further information as to the results of this treatment of prisoners in Lokitaung is forthcoming as yet. If it is true, then the history of barbarism in the twentieth century, which has generally been regarded as the prerogative of outright Fascist countries, is still ignobly continued in the dark, festering corners of the lands which are ruled by Her

Majesty's duly elected. It is the kind of barbarism which too savage hell-holes of gas-chambers of the. The effects can be the. The writers of the four days without water doubts left:

"It now appears to the intention of the Kenya Government to starve us to death... Government intention to stand why they should us here without water many other prisons in the country where water is. The final paragraph

The Bus Strike

THE dispute which has brought about the current bus strike is not by any means a remarkable one. The workers in nationalised industries perhaps more than others have become accustomed to regular, almost annual wage increases designed to compensate them for losses in real living standards caused by the upward trend in prices. They must by now also be well used to the position where the authority in question hedges, offers half the increase claimed by the union, and at a later date, and not applied to all the workers for whom it was claimed, the union issues solemn threats regarding industrial action, and after relays of negotiators have disputed the matter in board room and council chamber, and perhaps the Minister of Labour has made appeals and interventions, a compromise is reached, and the affair rests for a year, while other unions are taking their turn.

Before considering more closely the particular problems of the London bus strike, let us notice some of the assumptions, made by both sides in accepting this situation of claim-refusal-threat-negotiations-compromise. Besides the general assumption on the part of the unions that the exploitation of workers

is only by electoral luck to be a Tory government has come when assumed, the rising price spiral be slowed down, and w two; no more automation. Hence the collapse of the government is no adhere to the rules!

This action presents two levels. To the blow to the pocket. V gone up over the past the worker and his fa do with less, instead more money to spend dity. To the union comes to his position. Secretary through the minor officials the fear accepted machinery is that no longer will the leader consist of frier with employers, having clusions an increase asked for.

Discrimination in U.S. & Rhodesia

IT was reported from the United States

We could cite innumerable examples

some of these men are political prisoners who have been incarcerated for about five years at Lokitaung in the desert of the Northern Province, 600 miles from their homes.

A letter (about 900 words in length) was published in the *Observer* of June 8th from five such men, which if it is true (and to judge from past records there is no reason to doubt its validity), is a further telling indictment of the sort of treatment meted out by British representatives in Crown Colonies to those who fall foul of the laws, regulations and prohibitions which govern the lives of so many unwilling subjects of the British Government.

The letter outlines some of the conditions which exist in Lokitaung, starting by making the point that the prison is in the Twkana District which is closed to all except those with special permits, and the families and friends of prisoners are not permitted to visit them—nor in fact is the Prison Visiting Committee.

Writing and receiving letters (theoretically once a month) is a farce, and mail may take months in either direction. In Britain this state of affairs alone would create a *furor* in the press.

Brutality, appalling food and almost no medical attention. Democratic man's inhumanity to man. But men can continue to live in such conditions, if only as animals. The letter however does not end there:

"... about five months ago, water became so scarce in Lokitaung that it had to be rationed. We prisoners were allowed four gallons per person a day, which is insufficient for people doing hard labour in hot climate.

On April 23 the District Officer, Mr. C. L. Ryland, who is in charge of our prison, curtailed our water ration to two gallons per person. We appealed to him but he refused to listen to us. The following day the D.O. said we were not to get any water at all. We demanded to see him, but he refused.

On the twenty-fifth we went to the well for our share of water. The D.O. came to the well and told us to draw our water from a nearby old and discarded well which had long ago been condemned by the doctors and in which dogs' carcases and filth have been thrown for years. Vehicles are also washed on the top of the well, the dirty and oily water and petrol returning into the well. The well has no lid and when it rains the flood collects rubbish and excrement into it.

The D.O. told us the clean well is for

which has generally been regarded as the prerogative of outright Fascist countries, is still ignobly continued in the dark, festering corners of the lands which are ruled by Her

Government intention stand why they should us here without water many other prisons in country where water is The final para

The Bus Strike

THE dispute which has brought about the current bus strike is not by any means a remarkable one. The workers in nationalised industries perhaps more than others have become accustomed to regular, almost annual wage increases designed to compensate them for losses in real living standards caused by the upward trend in prices. They must by now also be well used to the position where the authority in question hedges, offers half the increase claimed by the union, and at a later date, and not applied to all the workers for whom it was claimed, the union issues solemn threats regarding industrial action, and after relays of negotiators have disputed the matter in board room and council chamber, and perhaps the Minister of Labour has made appeals and interventions, a compromise is reached, and the affair rests for a year, while other unions are taking their turn.

Before considering more closely the particular problems of the London bus strike, let us notice some of the assumptions, made by both sides in accepting this situation of claim-refusal-threat-negotiations-compromise. Besides the general assumption on the part of the unions that the exploitation of workers by private capitalists and by the State is going to be a permanent feature of society, which is implicit in the whole structure of the Labour movement, there are more specific assumptions about the economics of capitalism. One of these is that inflation is a necessary feature, another that it is quite an adequate way of "fighting" it to simply put 3 per cent., or 5 per cent. more money into everyone's pay packets each year, to compensate for whatever increase in the cost of living has been calculated. Both parties to the above set up, more than anything else, depend on the gentlemanly agreement of the other side, and the confidence that they will not break the unwritten rules.

Whereas, however, the unions, to their discredit, are essentially national organisations whose fraternal delegates would never dream of advocating real international action on vital matters, governments are involved in international politics, and cannot follow the routine so doggedly. It has been decided, and it

is only by electoral l to be a Tory govern has come when ass go, the rising price be slowed down, and two; no more automa Hence the collapse of the government is no adhere to the rules!

This action present two levels. To the b blow to the pocket. gone up over the pas the worker and his f do with less, instead more money to spend dity. To the union comes to his position. Secretary through the minor officials the fea accepted machinery i that no longer will the leader consist of frie with employers, havin clusions an increase asked for.

Discrimination in U.S. & Rhodesia

IT was reported from the United States at the beginning of the week that further acts of brutal violence in Dawson, a small Georgia town, have caused the death of two Negroes. Not caused on this occasion by an unruly mob but by the police in the course of their "duties". The F.B.I. is now investigating a whole series of cases which might well have never come to light if the police had not been involved in the deaths of these two men who, it is claimed, were resisting arrest. One was shot in his back yard the other, "pulled senseless into court and died from a crushed skull".

In Dawson, police enforce a 11 p.m. curfew and any Negro found on the street after this time is jailed. The white man has frightening powers in this little town and justice is strictly his privilege. In "democratic" Britain the power to vote is regarded as every citizen's right (over the age of 21), but in Dawson "twenty-four Negroes who tried to register to vote—most of them teachers—were 'failed'. One teacher, a man with a degree 'failed' a test for illiterate voters and was sacked from his job".

But the British people should not be too complacent about British democracy, or how well-treated are 'our' coloured. Britain's refined (and sometimes not so refined) methods of racial repression in the Colonies can be just as horrible as the cruder tactics used in the deep backward South.

In this issue of *FREEDOM* we reprint a letter written by African prisoners who have enjoyed for five terrible years the benefits of British prison methods in Kenya—for black men.

We could cite innumerable examples of cruelty and deliberate sadistic torture carried out by the British authorities against 'the natives' in countries which they have conquered. We do not suppose that torture has been official policy, but we do know that in many cases which have been made public over the last few years, officialdom has closed its eyes to brutal methods which it knew were being used in Colonial prisons.

Physical suffering is one way of keeping people under. Another is by the deprivation of their basic human dignity by legislating for racial discrimination. British Colonial Administration has little to learn from the South.

In Southern Rhodesia last week any hopes for a more 'liberal' policy died with the total defeat of Garfield Todd's United Rhodesia Party in the elections—all candidates were defeated. The voting for seats went in favour of the United Federal Party which is supposed to be 'moderate'. Nevertheless the Dominion Party which favours South Africa's racial policies gained twelve seats to the United Federal Party's eighteen.

As an example of how this moderate party intends to continue British type democracy in Rhodesia, let us quote from their leader, Sir Edgar Whitehead, on labour relations (*News Chronicle* June 6th):

"A 'Poor White' population cannot be allowed to develop in Southern Rhodesia, says Sir Edgar Whitehead, the Premier, explaining his statement that certain jobs must have to be reserved for whites. 'The growth of a class of unskilled Europeans could result in undercutting by Africans. This would antagonise the whites'."

And

IT was inevitable t de Gaulle oper stood to lose sol fanatical sponsors ily winning sup opponents. Last in spite of the m tions that were lai and his (as it turn opening words "J Gaulle, refused to militant sponsors kind of speech v fuel to the flames ness. On the cont the General was elementary lesson politics. Their pu the psychological politan France fo strong man at the ment, but once in the first elements b to curb.

It's obvious! I public, French p cians were pouri insurrection in Al Corsica by a hin come (which we bluff to hide the r France itself), pre isation" of de Ga than vocal resista The General fron

**FREEDOM needs
Money & New
Readers?**

please !

Freedom

THE ANARCHIST WEEKLY

June 14th, 1958

Threepence

to World Opinion from the Depths of HELL PRISONS

much more to

ve years we have
We have been
ital manner. Our
we do not get
We live on mealie
the worst quality.
and unbalanced
prone to many
st of us have been
some for long
almost lost their
diseases no ade-
tion and treatment
government do not
those who need
rst cases."

ing food and
attention. De-
humanity to man.
e to live in such
s animals. The
not end there:

months ago, water
Lokitaung that it
We prisoners were
er person a day,
people doing hard

strict Officer, Mr.
in charge of our
water ration to two
e appealed to him
a to us. The fol-
said we were not
l. We demanded
used.

we went to the
water. The D.O.
old us to draw our
old and discarded
go been condemn-
d in which dogs'
been thrown for
so washed on the
ty and oily water
to the well. The
when it rains the
and excrement

clean well is for

Europeans only. Knowing very well that the water is unfit for human beings, we refused, and demanded the clean water which we have been drinking in the past five years and which is now reserved for six Europeans only. The D.O. maintains that we cannot get any water from the clean well. Now as we write this letter we are entering our fourth day without water in a desert while the now 'European' well is full of clean water."

No further information as to the results of this treatment of prisoners in Lokitaung is forthcoming as yet. If it is true, then the history of barbarism in the twentieth century, which has generally been regarded as the prerogative of outright Fascist countries, is still ignobly continued in the dark, festering corners of the lands which are ruled by Her

Majesty's duly elected Government. It is the kind of barbarism which must inevitably lead to the deaths of those on whom it is inflicted. Death by starvation, thirst or disease. It is little different from the barbarism which took place in the savage hell-holes of Europe, in the gas-chambers of the German Reich. The effects can be the same.

The writers of the letter, after four days without water have few doubts left:

"It now appears to us that it is the intention of the Kenya Government to starve us to death . . . If that is not the Government intention we cannot understand why they should continue to keep us here without water when there are many other prisons in other parts of the country where water is plentiful."

The final paragraph of the letter

"Inequality is the source of all revolutions, for no compensation can make up for inequality."

—ARISTOTLE.

is a desperate application to an abstraction which can, but seldom does, exert its influence for the good of suffering humanity.

"We consider this the most brutal and inhuman treatment ever compared to that of the Nazi concentration camp. As we have nowhere to appeal we now appeal to the High Court of the World Public opinion—Yours faithfully,

(sgd.) B. M. KAGGIA, PAUL J. IVGEI,
FRED KUBAI, KUNGA KAR-
UMBA, KARIOKI I. CHATARA.
C/o H.M. Prison, Likitaung N.F.D.,
Via Kitale, Kenya."

An appeal to nowhere and yet to everywhere, and to everyone. Let us hope that a sufficiently great proportion of "everyone" in Britain can break free from the morass of indifference which is the general characteristic, and force the responsible authorities to have the facts investigated and give an accurate report of the findings, and take action to prove at least that inhumanity may still be subdued by the conscience of public opinion and disgust.

The Bus Strike in Perspective

THE dispute which has brought about the current bus strike is not by any means a remarkable one. The workers in nationalised industries perhaps more than others have become accustomed to regular, almost annual wage increases designed to compensate them for losses in real living standards caused by the upward trend in prices. They must by now also be well used to the position where the authority in question hedges, offers half the increase claimed by the union, and at a later date, and not applied to all the workers for whom it was claimed, the union issues solemn threats regarding industrial action, and after relays of negotiators have disputed the matter in board room and council chamber, and perhaps the Minister of Labour has made appeals and interventions, a compromise is reached, and the affair rests for a year, while other unions are taking their turn.

Before considering more closely the particular problems of the London bus strike, let us notice some of the assumptions, made by both sides in accepting this situation of claim-refusal-threat-negotiations-compromise. Besides the general assumption on the part of the

is only by electoral luck that it happens to be a Tory government, that the time has come when assumption one must go, the rising price spiral must at least be slowed down, and with it assumption two; no more automatic wage increases. Hence the collapse of assumption three; the government is no longer going to adhere to the rules!

This action presents a challenge on two levels. To the bus workers it is a blow to the pocket. Where prices have gone up over the past eighteen months, the worker and his family will have to do with less, instead of having a little more money to spend on that commodity. To the union leader the blow comes to his position. From the General Secretary through the serried ranks of minor officials the fear spreads that "the accepted machinery is breaking down", that no longer will the duties of a union leader consist of friendly conversations with employers, having as foregone conclusions an increase of half what was asked for.

The result was that the bus strike, although supported by the majority of the workers, was launched officially, and under the firm control of the leaders. It is not a strike of workers against definite grievances which demand correction, although the grievances are there true enough. It is largely a "political" strike, caused by a combination of bluff and counter-bluff breaking down, and forcing a reluctant Mr. Cousins to carry out threats which he had been making more or less as a matter of form. This is why so much emphasis has been placed on what seems like a 'mystique' of striking. Having been content for years to regard industrial action as something of the past, which could only be dusted up and used again under the greatest provocation, they have forgotten what in practice a large-scale strike is.

In the simpler periods of capitalism it was possible to strike against a particular employer, and by the pressure of financial loss, force him to give way on

Continued on p. 4

...se who need
...st cases."
...ing food and
...attention. De-
...humanity to man.
...e to live in such
...s animals. The
...not end there:

...months ago, water
...Lokitaung that it
...We prisoners were
...per person a day,
...people doing hard

...istrict Officer, Mr.
...in charge of our
...water ration to two
...We appealed to him
...n to us. The fol-
...said we were not
...ll. We demanded
...used.

...we went to the
...water. The D.O.
...old us to draw our
...old and discarded
...go been condemn-
...ed in which dogs'
...e been thrown for
...so washed on the
...rty and oily water
...into the well. The
...when it rains the
...h and excrement

...e clean well is for

Nodesia

...merable examples
...ate sadistic torture
...British authorities
...n countries which
...We do not sup-
...peen official policy,
...a many cases which
...e over the last few
...closed it eyes to
...it knew were being
...ns.

...one way of keep-
...mother is by the de-
...sic human dignity
...cial discrimination.
...ministration has
...the South.

...sia last week any
...beral' policy died
...of Garfield Todd's
...y in the elections—
...teated. The voting
...our of the United
...is supposed to be
...less the Dominion
...s South Africa's
...twelve seats to the
...s eighteen.

...how this moderate
...tinue British type
...sia, let us quote
...Edgar Whitehead,
...(*News Chronicle*)

...population cannot be
...Southern Rhodesia,
...head, the Premier,
...nt that certain jobs
...served for whites.
...class of unskilled
...ilt in undercutting
...ould antagonise the

barism in the twentieth century, which has generally been regarded as the prerogative of outright Fascist countries, is still ignobly continued in the dark, festering corners of the lands which are ruled by Her

starve us to death . . . If that is not the Government intention we cannot understand why they should continue to keep us here without water when there are many other prisons in other parts of the country where water is plentiful."

The final paragraph of the letter

investigated and give an accurate report of the findings, and take action to prove at least that inhumanity may still be subdued by the conscience of public opinion and disgust.

The Bus Strike in Perspective

THE dispute which has brought about the current bus strike is not by any means a remarkable one. The workers in nationalised industries perhaps more than others have become accustomed to regular, almost annual wage increases designed to compensate them for losses in real living standards caused by the upward trend in prices. They must by now also be well used to the position where the authority in question hedges, offers half the increase claimed by the union, and at a later date, and not applied to all the workers for whom it was claimed, the union issues solemn threats regarding industrial action, and after relays of negotiators have disputed the matter in board room and council chamber, and perhaps the Minister of Labour has made appeals and interventions, a compromise is reached, and the affair rests for a year, while other unions are taking their turn.

Before considering more closely the particular problems of the London bus strike, let us notice some of the assumptions, made by both sides in accepting this situation of claim-refusal-threat-negotiations-compromise. Besides the general assumption on the part of the unions that the exploitation of workers by private capitalists and by the State is going to be a permanent feature of society, which is implicit in the whole structure of the Labour movement, there are more specific assumptions about the economics of capitalism. One of these is that inflation is a necessary feature, another that it is quite an adequate way of "fighting" it to simply put 3 per cent., or 5 per cent. more money into everyone's pay packets each year, to compensate for whatever increase in the cost of living has been calculated. Both parties to the above set up, more than anything else, depend on the gentlemanly agreement of the other side, and the confidence that they will not break the unwritten rules.

Whereas, however, the unions, to their discredit, are essentially national organisations whose fraternal delegates would never dream of advocating real international action on vital matters, governments are involved in international politics, and cannot follow the routine so doggedly. It has been decided, and it

is only by electoral luck that it happens to be a Tory government, that the time has come when assumption one must go, the rising price spiral must at least be slowed down, and with it assumption two; no more automatic wage increases. Hence the collapse of assumption three; the government is no longer going to adhere to the rules!

This action presents a challenge on two levels. To the bus workers it is a blow to the pocket. Where prices have gone up over the past eighteen months, the worker and his family will have to do with less, instead of having a little more money to spend on that commodity. To the union leader the blow comes to his position. From the General Secretary through the serried ranks of minor officials the fear spreads that "the accepted machinery is breaking down", that no longer will the duties of a union leader consist of friendly conversations with employers, having as foregone conclusions an increase of half what was asked for.

The result was that the bus strike, although supported by the majority of the workers, was launched officially, and under the firm control of the leaders. It is not a strike of workers against definite grievances which demand correction, although the grievances are there true enough. It is largely a "political" strike, caused by a combination of bluff and counter-bluff breaking down and forcing a reluctant Mr. Cousins to carry out threats which he had been making more or less as a matter of form. This is why so much emphasis has been placed on what seems like a 'mystique' of striking. Having been content for years to regard industrial action as something of the past, which could only be dusted up and used again under the greatest provocation, they have forgotten what in practice a large-scale strike is.

In the simpler periods of capitalism it was possible to strike against a particular employer, and by the pressure of financial loss, force him to give way on

Continued on p. 4

And After De Gaulle!

IT was inevitable that once General de Gaulle opened his mouth he stood to lose some of his more fanatical sponsors without necessarily winning support among his opponents. Last week in Algiers in spite of the monster demonstrations that were laid on for his visit, and his (as it turned out, ambiguous) opening words "*J'ai compris*," de Gaulle, refused to be drawn by his militant sponsors into making the kind of speech which would add fuel to the flames of their rebelliousness. On the contrary, one felt that the General was giving them an elementary lesson in the game of politics. Their *putsch* had provided the psychological climate in metropolitan France for the return of a strong man at the helm of government, but once in power they were the first elements he must needs seek to curb.

It's obvious! In the eyes of the public, French politics and politicians were *pourri* (rotten), and the insurrection in Algeria, followed in Corsica by a hint of the things to come (which we still think was a bluff to hide the rebels' weakness in France itself), precipitated the "legalisation" of de Gaulle without more than vocal resistance from the Left. The General from his reception in

the Assembly and in the Press, knew that he could sleep in peace (for the time being, at least) so far as metropolitan France was concerned. It was his sponsors, in Algeria, who now had to be told that *their* man was in power, and this meant that it was he, and not they, who henceforth would issue the orders!

It appears that de Gaulle has persuaded the army to toe the line (one no longer reads pronouncements by General Massu; he has presumably returned to his proper task of killing *Muslim* rebels—and with considerable success according to reports). But for politicians such as Soustelle and Delbeque, and the *ultras* among the French colonialists, de Gaulle's programme for Algeria stinks of liberalism and his almost sympathetic references to the courage of the F.L.N. (the Arab resistance movement) were heresies. Delbeque's cry from the heart "Have we crossed the Rubicon to go fishing" must have been echoed by many an Algerian *ultra* since last week's visitation!

On the other hand, the General's promises of integration, referendums and universal suffrage in Algeria are winning him few new friends in France. His critics declare that in

Continued on p. 3

FREEDOM needs Money & New Readers?

please !

REPORT ON THE CO-OPS - 5

(Continued from previous issue)

ALTHOUGH the Co-operative Independent Commission claim that their proposals for management, which I discussed last week, would not detract from democratic control but, on the contrary, enhance it, they made no attempt to analyse the meaning of democracy. Their whole argument, in fact, is based on an implicit theory of democracy which is by no means universally accepted, least of all in the Co-operative Movement.

If one examines critically the verbiage which passes as the literature on democracy, it is clear that there are two main theories of democracy competing to-day. The first, or 'classical' theory sees democracy as essentially a system of self-government—an institutional arrangement whereby men, either directly or indirectly, through representatives, govern themselves. The second and more fashionable theory sees democracy as essentially a system of responsible government. The protagonists of the latter theory accept, or more commonly assume, that self-government is impossible; all government is government by the few, whether in the name of the one, the few, or the many. The theory is really a theory of oligarchy but this term is avoided because of its emotive associations and also because it is believed that oligarchy is all right so long as the rulers act responsibly, *i.e.* in the interests of the ruled.

It is this second theory which the Commission employs when they argue that their management proposals are democratic. And the substance of my argument last week challenging this conclusion might be re-phrased by saying that their proposals would in practice result, not in responsible but in irresponsible oligarchy—the ruling few in this instance being the chief officials or managers of the Co-ops.

It is patently clear that whatever theorists have hitherto meant when they talked of 'Co-operative democracy' they have *not* meant 'Co-operative responsible oligarchy'. Co-operative government (in theory at least) is a blend of direct and indirect self-government: direct in that the members may participate in the government process by attending the sovereign assembly or business meeting; indirect in that the members elect an executive body—the management committee—to act on their behalf in between business meetings. No amount of talk about 'democratic control' can alter the fact that the management proposals of the Commission would involve a radical diminution of the element of self-government that still exists, however imperfectly, in most retail Co-ops. at the present time.

While the Commission clearly assumes in this part of its Report that democracy means responsible rather than self-government, it is not really aware of what it is doing. This becomes obvious when one looks at their proposals on amalgamation. On this question they accept the traditional Co-operative principle of the local autonomous society. They reject therefore the proposal in the Minority Report by Col. S. J. L. Hardie, ex-boss of the ex-Socialist Iron and Steel Corpora-

tion, which calls for two national societies—one for Scotland and one for England and Wales—and ultimately for just one national (or should it be international?) society. (Hardie's proposal, incidentally, is not novel. It was made as long ago as 1906 but it has not gained in attractiveness in the intervening 50-odd years. The Minority Report reads like a bureaucrat's paradise and an anarchist's nightmare). In rejecting the idea of a national society in favour of local societies, the majority of the Commission uses arguments which only make sense in terms of the classical theory of democracy. In short, the Commission assumes both competing theories of democracy, using in turn the theory which happens to suit their particular argument.

While the Commission accepts the principle of the local autonomous society, it proposes a wholesale plan of amalgamation by which the number of retail societies would be reduced from the present 950-odd to an 'ideal number' of 200-300, each society being based on a 'natural' shopping catchment area. It is at this point that the Commission's inconsistency or muddle about democracy really becomes evident. If the members of the Commission had been clearer in their own minds about the meaning of democracy, it

is possible that faced squarely government would only work—in a smaller the society of real self-government case being the self-government of the individual anarchy may radical classical is, the Commission only obliquely possibility that a scale envisaged cline in member government of t

The possibility The decline over the already aby participation in vealed in a rec Banks and Ost edly partly acco amalgamation during that p societies per year op. Movement Report has, sa average society ship figures) wil of 50,000. If p any guide—whi changed circula be—we may ex attending busin roughly halved does not, to us take 'too serious reasons it gives complacent atti is not the only low participatio mation might b intensified effor pation; and, thi to be made be and participati 'automatically' the latter. Ea deserves an ol while size is accounting for the evidence p most important only, no one h to halt the dec increase, partici 'Oh, yeah!' T

BOOK REVIEWS

Juvenile Delinquency

DELINQUENT BOYS by A. Cohen. The Free Press, Glencot, Illinois, \$3.50.

THE CIRCLE OF GUILT, by F. Wertham. Dobson, 18s.

SOME youths meet on a New York street. A gun goes off and one of them drops dead. Who is to blame?

According to Dr. Wertham, blood-thirsty comics are at the root of it all. Social workers who maintain that these macabre pulp magazines are a symptom of a sick society rather than a primary cause of delinquency are dismissed with contempt. If Dr. Wertham practises medicine along the same lines, all his patients presenting the symptom of a fever will one day be dug out of a deep freeze, very dead.

Dr. Wertham is understandably beside himself with anger, but his indiscriminate flailing at unidentified forces of darkness does his cause more harm than good. Of course there is something wrong with a social system that drives young people to crimes of violence, but in attacking social scientists for their attempt to ana-

win with the rules as they are, and you are inviting him to break the rules.

Cohen knows his sociology and his delinquents, having made a first-hand study of both. Given an excess of Cohens over Werthams there is hope for a rational solution. Given an excess of Werthams over Cohens and gang warfare should continue unabated, without the benefit of comics.

R.T.G.

FREEDOM BOOKSHOP OPEN DAILY

(Open 10 a.m.—6.30 p.m., 5 p.m. Sats.)

New Books . . .

From the Other Shore

Alexander Herzen 16/-
The Naked God Howard Fast 25/-
Private Life Alan Hackney 13/6
Brighter than a Thousand Suns
Robert Jungk 21/-

Second-Hand . . .

What the Negro Wants

W. E. Du Bois &c. 5/-

Trial of a Judge

Stephen Spender 5/-

Roads to Freedom

Bertrand Russell 4/6

'Brighter than a

WHY did the scientists produce atomic bombs? Why did they allow them to be used? What sort of people are the atomic scientists? These questions are answered by Dr. Robert Jungk's book *Brighter Than a Thousand Suns* (Gollancz, 21s.), which gives the moral and political history of the men who produced the atomic and hydrogen bombs. Of these scientists some were Jews and a number had come to America to escape Nazism. They were afraid

ourselves also lea not been in vain. I can say that I h

On the contra electronics speciali "I am not a bit pr done . . . the on was to beat the draw . . . perhap that man will be The alternative t

few, or the many. The theory is really a theory of oligarchy but this term is avoided because of its emotive associations and also because it is believed that oligarchy is all right so long as the rulers act responsibly, i.e. in the interests of the ruled.

It is this second theory which the Commission employs when they argue that their management proposals are democratic. And the substance of my argument last week challenging this conclusion might be re-phrased by saying that their proposals would in practice result, not in responsible but in irresponsible oligarchy—the ruling few in this instance being the chief officials or managers of the Co-ops.

FREEDOM BOOKSHOP OPEN DAILY

(Open 10 a.m.—6.30 p.m., 5 p.m. Sats.)

New Books . . .

From the Other Shore
Alexander Herzen 16/-
The Naked God Howard Fast 25/-
Private Life Alan Hackney 13/6
Brighter than a Thousand Suns
Robert Jungk 21/-

Second-Hand . . .

What the Negro Wants
W. E. Du Bois &c. 5/-
Trial of a Judge
Stephen Spender 5/-
Roads to Freedom
Bertrand Russell 4/6
TVA: Democracy on the March
David E. Lilienthal 3/6
The Fields at Evening
Ethel Mannin 4/-
The Man in the Thick Lead Suit
Daniel Lang 3/6
So the World Goes
J. W. Sullivan 3/-
Sailor on Horseback Irving Stone 3/6
Sasha A. Kuprin 2/6
Authority and the Individual
Bertrand Russell 3/6
The Healing of Nations
Edward Carpenter 3/6
The Fortune Douglas Goldring 4/-
Afterthoughts on the U.S.S.R.
André Gide 3/6
The Chinese Communists
Stuart Gelder 4/-
The Smaller Democracies
Sir E. D. Simon 2/6
Letters from an Outpost
Alex Comfort 3/-
England's Voice of Freedom
Henry W. Nevinnson 3/6
Quack! Quack! Leonard Woolf 3/-
Programme for Survival
Lewis Mumford 2/6
Back from the U.S.S.R.
André Gide 3/6

Periodicals . . .

Liberation May 1/9

We can supply ANY book required, including text-books. Please supply publisher's name if possible, but if not, we can find it. Scarce and out-of-print books searched for—and frequently found!

Postage free on all items

Obtainable from

**27, RED LION STREET,
LONDON, W.C.1**

society. They reject therefore the proposal in the Minority Report by Col. S. J. L. Hardie, ex-boss of the ex-Socialist Iron and Steel Corpora-

cracy really becomes evident. If the members of the Commission had been clearer in their own minds about the meaning of democracy, it

does not, to u
take 'too serious
reasons it give
complacent att
is not the only
low participati
mation might b
intensified effo
pation; and, th
to be made b
and participat
'automatically'
the latter. Ea
deserves an
while size is
accounting for
the evidence p
most importan
only, no one l
to halt the de
increase, partic
'Oh, yeah!'

BOOK REVIEWS

Juvenile Delinquency

DELINQUENT BOYS by A. Cohen. The Free Press, Glencot, Illinois, \$3.50.

THE CIRCLE OF GUILT, by F. Wertham. Dobson, 18s.

SOME youths meet on a New York street. A gun goes off and one of them drops dead. Who is to blame?

According to Dr. Wertham, blood-thirsty comics are at the root of it all. Social workers who maintain that these macabre pulp magazines are a symptom of a sick society rather than a primary cause of delinquency are dismissed with contempt. If Dr. Wertham practises medicine along the same lines, all his patients presenting the symptom of a fever will one day be dug out of a deep freeze, very dead.

Dr. Wertham is understandably beside himself with anger, but his indiscriminate flailing at unidentified forces of darkness does his cause more harm than good. Of course there is something wrong with a social system that drives young people to crimes of violence, but in attacking social scientists for their attempt to analyse the problem Dr. Wertham waves his Achilles heel in the air—an undignified posture at the best of times. He is a humanitarian with a training in medicine that is of little use when it comes to understanding human behaviour in a social context.



THOSE who would prefer some real insight into the problems of delinquency to fulminating "agin sin" will find it in an excellent analysis of the culture of the gang by Dr. Cohen. No less of a humanitarian, Dr. Cohen is a well-informed social scientist who knows that unbridled sympathy clouds the sorrowful eye and leads to faulty judgments. If we are to help the delinquent in any radical sense we must understand what it is he is protesting against and why his protest takes such a destructive form. Only then can we hope to put a finger on the relevant faults in the social system. Unfortunately, the remedy proves to be more drastic and less simple-minded than suppressing *Dracula* and *Superman*.

To put matters in an over-polished nutshell, any highly competitive society which handicaps some of its most active members from the word go is asking for trouble. Gangs flourish in the working class areas of cities among adolescents, mainly boys, who are on the threshold of the economic struggle. Not only do they find themselves with a none too firm grip on the bottom rung of the ladder, but the guys above seem to make a point of treading on their bare knuckles with hobnailed boots. Goad someone into competing and deny him a chance to

win with the rules as they are, and you are inviting him to break the rules.

Cohen knows his sociology and his delinquents, having made a first-hand study of both. Given an excess of Cohens over Werthams there is hope for a rational solution. Given an excess of Werthams over Cohens and gang warfare should continue unabated, without the benefit of comics.

R.T.G.

'Brighter than

WHY did the scientists produce atomic bombs? Why did they allow them to be used? What sort of people are the atomic scientists? These questions are answered by Dr. Robert Jungk's book *Brighter Than a Thousand Suns* (Gollancz, 21s.), which gives the moral and political history of the men who produced the atomic and hydrogen bombs. Of these scientists some were Jews and a number had come to America to escape Nazism. They were afraid that their counterparts in Germany would produce the atomic bomb first and Hitler would get a weapon with which to conquer the world. Einstein appealed to Roosevelt to speed the production of an American bomb. In fact the more eminent scientists in Germany showed great responsibility. They realised the destruction such a weapon could cause and deliberately held up its development there. In America Oppenheimer was given charge of the project at Los Alamos to harness atomic power for war purposes. His associates lost their scruples in the excitement of new invention and in the race to forestall the enemy.

They had put their trust in the 'democratic' state and handed over this terrifying new weapon to the military authorities. Many of them were horrified that the atomic bomb should be dropped on a city, killing men, women and children indiscriminately. They expected some warning to be given, some demonstration of the bomb's power or at least that it would be used only on purely military bases. Other atomic scientists could not escape a sense of elation at the success of their efforts. Robert Brode, an American physicist said: "We were naturally shocked by the effect our weapon had produced and in particular because the bomb had not been aimed, as we had assumed, specifically at the military establishments in Hiroshima, but dropped in the centre of the town. But if I am to tell the whole truth I must confess that our relief was really greater than our horror. For at last our families and friends . . . realised that we, too, had been doing our duty. Finally we

ourselves also le
not been in vain
I can say that I

On the contr
electronics specia
"I am not a bit p
done . . . the o
was to beat the
draw . . . perha
that man will be
The alternative t
able. But unfortu
be some who do
scientist who wor
"I looked forward
ployment of this
that it would no
at the mere tho
it would cause,
frank, I was des
out whether thi
also do what v
short, whether
would work.
thoughts, I know
them out of my

With the drop
heimer became
came closely ass
and civil author
tigate to calm th
scientists, who w
public supervisio
energy. Their s
and only by c
agitation did the
development bei
military control.

But it was th
Box. The know
once let loose i
out of the contr
the old dreams
dice were broug
the consciences o
as 1946 a group
ned a demons
against the use o
purposes only
C.I.O. union or
protect the jobs
Bethe campaign
bomb and with

THE CO-OPS - 5

clear that whatever hitherto meant when 'Co-operative democracy' have not meant 'Con-sible oligarchy'. Government (in theory at end of direct and in- government: direct in ers may participate in nt process by attend- gn assembly or busi- indirect in that the an executive body— nt committee—to act f in between business amount of talk about ontrol' can alter the management proposals ssion would involve a tion of the element of t that still exists, ffectly, in most retail present time.

Commission clearly is part of its Report y means responsible -government, it is not of what it is doing. obvious when one proposals on amalga- this question they ditional Co-operative the local autonomous reject therefore the Minority Report by Hardie, ex-boss of the n and Steel Corpora-

tion, which calls for two national societies—one for Scotland and one for England and Wales—and ultimately for just one national (or should it be international?) society. (Hardie's proposal, incidentally, is not novel. It was made as long ago as 1906 but it has not gained in attractiveness in the intervening 50-odd years. The Minority Report reads like a bureaucrat's paradise and an anarchist's nightmare). In rejecting the idea of a national society in favour of local societies, the majority of the Commission uses arguments which only make sense in terms of the classical theory of democracy. In short, the Commission assumes both competing theories of democracy, using in turn the theory which happens to suit their particular argument.

While the Commission accepts the principle of the local autonomous society, it proposes a wholesale plan of amalgamation by which the number of retail societies would be reduced from the present 950-odd to an 'ideal number' of 200-300, each society being based on a 'natural' shopping catchment area. It is at this point that the Commission's inconsistency or muddle about democracy really becomes evident. It the members of the Commission had been clearer in their own minds about the meaning of democracy, it

is possible that they would have faced squarely the fact that self-government works best—can indeed only work—in a small society. The smaller the society, the more chance of real self-government—the limiting case being the anarchist ideal of self-government or the sovereignty of the individual. (In this respect anarchism may be seen as a form of radical classical democracy.) As it is, the Commission touch the matter only obliquely when they discuss the possibility that amalgamation on the scale envisaged will lead to a decline in member participation in the government of their societies.

The possibility is a very real one. The decline over the last 30 years in the already abysmally low level of participation in Co-operatives, revealed in a recent study of Messrs. Banks and Ostergaard, is undoubtedly partly accounted for by such amalgamation as there has been during that period—roughly 10 societies per year. If the future Co-op. Movement envisaged in the Report has, say, 250 societies the average society (on current membership figures) will have a membership of 50,000. If present experience is any guide—which of course, in the changed circumstances it may not be—we may expect the proportion attending business meetings to be roughly halved. The Commission does not, to use its own language, take 'too serious' a view of this. The reasons it gives for this somewhat complacent attitude are: first, size is not the only factor accounting for low participation; secondly, amalgamation might be accompanied by an intensified effort to increase participation; and, thirdly, if a choice has to be made between amalgamation and participation, it should not 'automatically' be made in favour of the latter. Each of these reasons deserves an obvious retort: first, while size is not the only factor accounting for low participation, all the evidence points to it being the most important single factor; secondly, no one has yet found the way to halt the decline in, let alone to increase, participation; and, thirdly, 'Oh, yeah!' The last retort needs

to be expressed with the maximum of irony. Whenever the question of amalgamation has been discussed in the past, its effect on participation has not even been the *last* thing to be considered: it has not been considered at all: the discussion has invariably been exclusively in terms of business efficiency or in terms of its effect on the 'vested interests' involved—the position of the displaced employees, officials and committeemen.

However, the Commission's point that the possibility of lower participation is not an insuperable objection to amalgamation may be accepted. The proportion participating may be halved, but, then, this will only mean that 0.25% of the members will attend rather than 0.5%! One may well doubt whether this will make much difference. In any case, it is clear that the level of participation is only a crude index of the extent of democracy in an organisation. A low level of participation does not necessarily mean that a voluntary association is undemocratic, just as a high level does not necessarily mean the opposite—we are all, in this day and age, familiar enough with polls of 99.9% or, in the case of the T.G.W.U., with polls of 105%. At the most, the level of participation is an index of democratic potential.

Apart from lowering participation, amalgamation is likely to have important consequential effects on the character of Co-op. democracy. If we extrapolate the results of a current investigation of the social composition of the Co-op. management committees and assume that the future large societies will be similar to the present large societies, we may expect the Commission's reorganised Movement to display the following characteristics. The average age of Co-op. directors will be reduced: a halt will be called to the 'gerontological revolution' which is so marked a feature of present day Co-ops., especially the smaller ones. Proportionately more women directors will be elected and, perhaps as a consequence, the amount

Continued on p. 3

BOOK REVIEWS

Juvenile Delinquency

NT BOYS by A. e Free Press, Glen- \$3.50.

E OF GUILT, by F. Dobson, 18s.

meet on a New York in goes off and one of Who is to blame? Dr. Wertham, blood- e at the root of it all. ho maintain that these gazines are a symptom rather than a primary ncy are dismissed with r. Wertham practises he same lines, all his g the symptom of a y be dug out of a deep

s understandably beside r, but his indiscriminate ified forces of darkness ore harm than good. something wrong with at drives young people ence, but in attacking r their attempt to ana- Dr. Wertham moves his

win with the rules as they are, and you are inviting him to break the rules.

Cohen knows his sociology and his delinquents, having made a first-hand study of both. Given an excess of Cohens over Werthams there is hope for a rational solution. Given an excess of Werthams over Cohens and gang warfare should continue unabated, without the benefit of comics.

R.T.G.

'Brighter than a Thousand Suns'

WHY did the scientists produce atomic bombs? Why did they allow them to be used? What sort of people are the atomic scientists? These questions are answered by Dr. Robert Jungk's book *Brighter Than a Thousand Suns* (Gollancz, 21s.), which gives the moral and political history of the men who produced the atomic and hydrogen bombs. Of these scientists some were Jews and a number had come to America to escape Nazism. They were afraid

ourselves also learned that our work had not been in vain. Speaking for myself, I can say that I had no feelings of guilt."

On the contrary, Higginbotham, an electronics specialist, wrote to his mother: "I am not a bit proud of the job we have done . . . the only reason for doing it was to beat the rest of the world to a draw . . . perhaps this is so devastating that man will be forced to be peaceful. The alternative to peace is now unthinkable. But unfortunately there will always

sent a statement to Truman in 1950, containing these words: "We believe that no nation has the right to use such a bomb, no matter how righteous its cause. This bomb is no longer a weapon of war but a means of extermination of whole populations."

Other scientists formed the "Society for Social Responsibility in Science", whose members instead of hoping for government initiative in disarmament

does not to use its own language,
take 'too serious' a view of this. The
reasons it gives for this somewhat
complacent attitude are: first, size
is not the only factor accounting for
low participation; secondly, amalga-
mation might be accompanied by an
intensified effort to increase partici-
pation; and, thirdly, if a choice has
to be made between amalgamation
and participation, it should not
'automatically' be made in favour of
the latter. Each of these reasons
deserves an obvious retort: first,
while size is not the only factor
accounting for low participation, all
the evidence points to it being the
most important single factor; sec-
ondly, no one has yet found the way
to halt the decline in, let alone to
increase, participation; and, thirdly,
'Oh, yeah!' The last retort needs

Apart from lowering participa-
tion, amalgamation is likely to have
important consequential effects on
the character of Co-op. democracy.
If we extrapolate the results of a
current investigation of the social
composition of the Co-op. manage-
ment committees and assume that
the future large societies will be
similar to the present large societies,
we may expect the Commission's re-
organised Movement to display the
following characteristics. The aver-
age age of Co-op. directors will be
reduced: a halt will be called to
the 'gerontological revolution' which
is so marked a feature of present
day Co-ops., especially the smaller
ones. Proportionately more women
directors will be elected and, per-
haps as a consequence, the amount

Continued on p. 3

BOOK REVIEWS

Juvenile Delinquency

NT BOYS by A.
Free Press, Glen-
\$, \$3.50.

OF GUILT, by F.
Dobson, 18s.

meet on a New York
un goes off and one of
Who is to blame?

Dr. Wertham, blood-
re at the root of it all.
who maintain that these
magazines are a symptom
rather than a primary
ency are dismissed with
Dr. Wertham practises
the same lines, all his
ng the symptom of a
y be dug out of a deep

is understandably beside
er, but his indiscriminate
tified forces of darkness
more harm than good.
s something wrong with
that drives young people
lence, but in attacking
or their attempt to ana-
Dr. Wertham waves his
the air—an undignified
est of times. He is a
a training in medicine
use when it comes to
uman behaviour in a

★
ould prefer some real
the problems of delin-
nating "agin sin" will
cellent analysis of the
ng by Dr. Cohen. No
tarian, Dr. Cohen is a
ial scientist who knows
mpathy clouds the sor-
ads to faulty judgments.
the delinquent in any
must understand what
ng against and why his
h a destructive form.
e hope to put a finger
faults in the social
ately, the remedy proves
stic and less simple-
pressing *Dracula* and

s in an over-polished
ly competitive society
ome of its most active
e word go is asking for
lourish in the working
es among adolescents,
are on the threshold
struggle. Not only do
es with a none too firm
m rung of the ladder,
e seem to make a point
eir bare knuckles with
Goad someone into
eny him a chance to

win with the rules as they are, and you
are inviting him to break the rules.

Cohen knows his sociology and his
delinquents, having made a first-hand
study of both. Given an excess of
Cohens over Werthams there is hope for
a rational solution. Given an excess of
Werthams over Cohens and gang warfare
should continue unabated, without the
benefit of comics.

R.T.G.

'Brighter than a Thousand Suns'

WHY did the scientists produce atomic
bombs? Why did they allow them
to be used? What sort of people are
the atomic scientists? These questions
are answered by Dr. Robert Jungk's
book *Brighter Than a Thousand Suns*
(Gollancz, 21s.), which gives the moral
and political history of the men who
produced the atomic and hydrogen
bombs. Of these scientists some were
Jews and a number had come to Amer-
ica to escape Nazism. They were afraid
that their counterparts in Germany
would produce the atomic bomb first
and Hitler would get a weapon with
which to conquer the world. Einstein
appealed to Roosevelt to speed the pro-
duction of an American bomb. In fact
the more eminent scientists in Germany
showed great responsibility. They real-
ised the destruction such a weapon
could cause and deliberately held up its
development there. In America Oppen-
heimer was given charge of the project
at Los Alamos to harness atomic power
for war purposes. His associates lost
their scruples in the excitement of new
invention and in the race to forestall the
enemy.

They had put their trust in the 'demo-
cratic' state and handed over this terri-
fying new weapon to the military auth-
orities. Many of them were horrified
that the atomic bomb should be dropped
on a city, killing men, women and chil-
dren indiscriminately. They expected
some warning to be given, some demon-
stration of the bomb's power or at least
that it would be used only on purely
military bases. Other atomic scientists
could not escape a sense of elation at the
success of their efforts. Robert Brode,
an American physicist said: "We were
naturally shocked by the effect our
weapon had produced and in particular
because the bomb had not been aimed,
as we had assumed, specifically at the
military establishments in Hiroshima, but
dropped in the centre of the town. But
if I am to tell the whole truth I must
confess that our relief was really greater
than our horror. For at last our families
and friends . . . realised that we, too,
had been doing our duty. Finally we

ourselves also learned that our work had
not been in vain. Speaking for myself,
I can say that I had no feelings of guilt."

On the contrary, Higginbotham, an
electronics specialist, wrote to his mother:
"I am not a bit proud of the job we have
done . . . the only reason for doing it
was to beat the rest of the world to a
draw . . . perhaps this is so devastating
that man will be forced to be peaceful.
The alternative to peace is now unthink-
able. But unfortunately there will always
be some who don't think . . ." A third
scientist who worked on the bomb wrote:
"I looked forward with dread to the em-
ployment of this 'better' bomb. I hoped
that it would not be used, and trembled
at the mere thought of the devastation
it would cause. And yet, to be quite
frank, I was desperately anxious to find
out whether this type of bomb would
also do what was expected of it, in
short, whether its intricate mechanism
would work. These were dreadful
thoughts, I know. But I could not get
them out of my head."

With the dropping of the bomb Oppen-
heimer became a public hero. He be-
came closely associated with the military
and civil authorities and used his pres-
tige to calm the worries of the young
scientists, who wished to press for some
public supervision and control of atomic
energy. Their suspicions were aroused
and only by extensive lobbying and
agitation did they prevent atomic research
development being handed over to direct
military control.

But it was the situation of Pandora's
Box. The knowledge of atomic power
once let loose into the world was now
out of the control of its discoverers. All
the old dreams of patriotism and preju-
dice were brought to bear in quietening
the consciences of the scientists. As early
as 1946 a group of pacifist workers plan-
ned a demonstration at Oak Ridge
against the use of atomic power for war
purposes only to be stopped by the
C.I.O. union organisers who wanted to
protect the jobs of their members. Hans
Bethe campaigned against the hydrogen
bomb and with other leading physicists

sent a statement to Truman in 1950,
containing these words: "We believe that
no nation has the right to use such a
bomb, no matter how righteous its
cause. This bomb is no longer a
weapon of war but a means of extermin-
ation of whole populations."

Other scientists formed the "Society
for Social Responsibility in Science",
whose members instead of hoping for
government initiative in disarmament
took personal decisions about their work
and even if it meant giving up their jobs,
agreed to take no part in the arms race.
The Korean War and the fear of com-
munism were used by the authorities to
justify their actions. One victim of the
witch-hunt was Oppenheimer himself,
who had more than anyone served the
State's interests.

Dr. Jungk's book gives a calm and
factual account that is both fascinating
and impressive. His scientists are neither
the supermen nor the monsters of popu-
lar mythology. They are in fact men
with human strengths and weaknesses,
cut off by their absorption in their work
very often from harsh political realities
and from the consequences of their acts.
They compare not unfavourably with
the writers and the clergy, the accepted
mouthpieces and consciences of our
specialised modern community.

The author gives no solution to the
problem of nuclear power but from his
account there emerges clearly the fact
that the H-Bomb is just one, though the
most terrible, manifestation of modern
social ills. It could not have been pro-
duced without the centralised State and
the willingness of men to serve that
State. It could not have been produced
without fear of the external enemy, with-
out tension, uneasiness, mental sickness
and an unhealthy attitude to sex. The
Bomb is one aspect of this vast social
problem and the only answer is personal
responsibility for all one's actions, con-
cern and aid for one's fellow men irre-
spective of national frontiers and politi-
cal divisions.

F.T.

And After de Gaulle

Continued from p. 1

tegration is a financial* and political† impossibility. They apparently will not hinder his efforts, but have little faith in their succeeding. So far as the F.L.N. are concerned all the integration and equality the General can dispense is no substitute for their dreams of independence. They probably—and to our minds rightly—view the General's sympathetic approach as a tactic to undermine their influence among the Muslim population, and that once the armed nationalists are isolated from the population the process of "cleaning them up" will have chances of success. For after all, what hope has General de Gaulle of bringing "peace" to Algeria if he cannot silence the resistance movement? And so far an army of 400,000 men armed with modern weapons has not succeeded; if anything it has strengthened the will to resist among the Muslim population.

★

IT is clear that the French crisis remains, potentially as explosive as it was a month ago. The advent of de Gaulle has temporarily halted the purely vulgar struggle of men for positions of authority. But with all his "authority" and "prestige" de Gaulle cannot offer solutions which will be acceptable to all sides. If he is sure of the army he may be able to impose a policy which all will have to accept but for how long would that last? Previous French governments have played the *colons'* game for the past three years (it is said that France has been governed from Algeria) without on the one hand satisfying them or on the other forcing the F.L.N. to capitulate. To attempt to reconcile the privileged status of the 1½ million French Algerians with the nationalistic aspirations of the under-privileged 8 million Muslims, is to ask the former to give up their privileges or the latter to accept that the future shall be as hopeless and miserable as the past.

Time is on the side of the Muslims in Algeria. The French Algerians can only maintain their privi-

I ONCE met Mr. Julius Braunthal at about the time of his retirement from being secretary of the Socialist International. "Congratulations," I said. "What for?" he asked. "On ceasing to be a politician." I was reminded of this when the time came for questions at a meeting addressed by Jayaprakash Narayan at the Mahatma Gandhi Hall in Fitzroy Square last week. JP, a tall, handsome, soft-spoken man in his fifties, had talked in very much the same terms as in his 'testament' summarised at length in last week's FREEDOM. But when members of the audience of Indian students and British socialists questioned him it became obvious that his retirement from political activity and his decision to work for a different kind of socialism, made his admirers disappointed or resentful, or just puzzled. They admired him for *having been* a political leader. We, on the other hand, are interested in him for having stopped being one.

Some, in the form of questions, were giving him elementary lessons on the necessity of political action (which is a rather comical way of addressing a man who was a party boss for twenty years), others were probing into the possibility of a political comeback. They felt, it was evident, that they had been *let down* by JP: I heard this feeling expressed very strongly a couple of years ago when Asoka Mehta, who succeeded Jayaprakash as leader of the Praja Socialist Party was in London. He was asked about the future of Indian socialism after the *deviation* of JP. In reply he listed the achievements of Vinoba Bhave's Bhoodan movement, the land gifts, the several thousand villages which had decided to pool their land: the erosion, as he put it, of the whole concept of ownership. "I wouldn't call this a deviation from socialism," said Asoka Mehta, "for this is what socialism is about."

JP for his part last week was anxious to emphasise that he had no quarrel with his former colleagues. "If they hope to build socialism by parliamentary action," he said, "Let them do so." And he spoke of his activities in attempting to change society at the village level as the 'third limb' of the socialist movement. "If it is the third limb," my neighbour in the hall whispered, "its function is to trip up the other two!" I think he was right, and JP wrong on this point. The socialism which seeks to build a stateless commonwealth from below is bound to come into conflict with the socialism which seeks to capture the machinery of the state in order to use it for its own purposes. This conflict is

is neither just nor even practicable?

Perhaps the insurrection in Algiers last month will prove to be not a new lease of life for French Algeria, but the last attempt by the *colons* to stave off the inevitable.

PEOPLE AND IDEAS

FINDING A WAY OUT

as old as the socialist movement itself. It was the conflict between the adherents of Marx and those of Bakunin in the First International and it is the difference between anarchism and political socialism to-day. JP must be perfectly aware of this conflict, and has made his choice. He declared several years ago that

"if our ultimate aim is to do without the State, we must here and now create the conditions in which the people will rely more and more on themselves and less and less on the State. No one can tell whether the State will ever completely disappear but if we accept the ideals of a non-violent democracy, we must begin to work for it. It need hardly be added that a people who want to do without the State or who wish to lean on it as little as possible would be a self-regulated people—self-disciplined, just and mutually co-operative. . . . War is not likely to be abolished by governments. Only the people who have freed themselves from their governments can do it."

And in one of his village speeches, he made the opposition between his aims and those of parliamentary socialism even more clear:

"Bhoodan does not aim at capturing the State in order to use it for its ends. As a corollary, it does not wish to create or become a political party in order to capture the State. It aims rather at persuading the people, independently of what the State may or may not want, to carry out a revolution in their own lives, and through that a revolution in society. It aims further at creating those conditions in which the people may manage their affairs directly, without the intervention of parties and parliaments. . . . In the present world the State not only in its totalitarian form but also in its

welfare variety of larger powers a Welfare State, threatens as much State as does the people must cry paralysis . . ."

ANOTHER me and another to explain how, words, "it became tics could not de March 1st, at the League for Mutualité, New Martinique, New donald was expla Longer A Sociali ccribed his evolutio he was a revoluto after listing the d isms, said: "To co more positive not words in favour o the only positive He went on to d the 1870's betwee concluding that in history, Bakunin wrong, and contra of anarchism wit Oxford dictionary

"Now you all means—it means of belief in all f posed by force. right and the ab to decide anything him by his own fr co-operation with word *anarchist* co 'an' meaning 'with leader', and the O

Report on the Co-ops

expended on what passes as 'education' in the Co-op. Movement will be increased. Both these changes may be regarded as tending to make Co-op. leaders more representative of the membership as a whole. (The Commission would doubtless approve of this, since it apparently sets great store on leaders being representative and even naïvely assumes that the present active nucleus is generally representative of the membership—an assumption which could be exposed as a crass fallacy merely by attending one Co-op. meeting.) Other changes are likely to move in the opposite direction. Proportionately more employees will be elected as directors and, significantly enough, most of these will be employees of a *managerial* grade, not rank-and-file employees. Proportionately more directors active in politics, especially Labour politics, will be elected. And, finally, proportionately more directors will

elected whose will stamp them middle, as oppo class.

No doubt the have argued if consider the ma these possible co presents an insup amalgamation. would have bee that they are li radical change i Co-op. democrac

So far I have mation in terms democratic basis Despite the lip-se Co-op. tradition Commission, how concerned with c gamation, it thi efficiency. In my to show that is this as it is abo

And so far an army of 400,000 men armed with modern weapons has not succeeded; if anything it has strengthened the will to resist among the Muslim population.

★

IT is clear that the French crisis remains, potentially as explosive as it was a month ago. The advent of de Gaulle has temporarily halted the purely vulgar struggle of men for positions of authority. But with all his "authority" and "prestige" de Gaulle cannot offer solutions which will be acceptable to all sides. If he is sure of the army he may be able to impose a policy which all will have to accept but for how long would that last? Previous French governments have played the *colons*' game for the past three years (it is said that France has been governed from Algeria) without on the one hand satisfying them or on the other forcing the F.L.N. to capitulate. To attempt to reconcile the privileged status of the 1½ million French Algerians with the nationalistic aspirations of the under-privileged 8 million Muslims, is to ask the former to give up their privileges or the latter to accept that the future shall be as hopeless and miserable as the past.

Time is on the side of the Muslims in Algeria. The French Algerians can only maintain their privileged positions now with the aid of an army of occupation which is manned, armed and financed by the people of metropolitan France. How much longer will national pride override such considerations as that young Frenchmen are dying, or having to spend long years in the army, or that hundreds of millions of pounds are spent each year simply to prolong a situation which

*Last week's *Express* goes into the costs of providing the rapidly growing Muslim population (250,000 per annum) with the housing, education and living conditions which the "equality" which complete integration would demand, and shows that the necessary capitalisation in Algeria, which would have to come from France, would demand sacrifices in the accepted standards of living in France which would probably make the average Frenchman who dreams of Algeria as in integral part of France revise his ideas! The spending power of the 8 million Muslims in Algeria is at present 16,000 francs a year per head compared with 300,000 in France. To raise the standard of living in Algeria by only 2 per cent. would involve the investment of more than £100 million now and an ever-increasing amount each year to keep up with the present rate of increase in population.

†Integration would mean that in the French Assembly within a foreseeable future a quarter of the Deputies would come from Algeria and, if the promises of integration and equality are kept, most of them would naturally be Muslims since they outnumber the French Algerians by 8 to 1!

pressed very strongly a couple of years ago when Asoka Mehta, who succeeded Jayaprakash as leader of the Praja Socialist Party was in London. He was asked about the future of Indian socialism after the *deviation* of JP. In reply he listed the achievements of Vinoba Bhave's Bhoodan movement, the land gifts, the several thousand villages which had decided to pool their land; the erosion, as he put it, of the whole concept of ownership. "I wouldn't call this a deviation from socialism," said Asoka Mehta, "for this is what socialism is about."

JP for his part last week was anxious to emphasise that he had no quarrel with his former colleagues. "If they hope to build socialism by parliamentary action," he said, "Let them do so." And he spoke of his activities in attempting to change society at the village level as the 'third limb' of the socialist movement. "If it is the third limb," my neighbour in the hall whispered, "its function is to trip up the other two!" I think he was right, and JP wrong on this point. The socialism which seeks to build a stateless commonwealth from below is bound to come into conflict with the socialism which seeks to capture the machinery of the state in order to use it for its own purposes. This conflict is

is neither just nor even practicable?

Perhaps the insurrection in Algiers last month will prove to be not a new lease of life for French Algeria, but the last attempt by the *colons* to stave off the inevitable achievement of Algerian independence. (It is not without significance that already a number of the wealthiest French Algerians have left the country—with their fortunes of course!) We say "inevitably" because with all its faults the resistance movement of Algeria fights for a better cause than the *colons*, and not with conscript armies, but with its own forces and resources.

★

SURELY the millions of workers in France who are so well organised in their unions and their political parties that they have lost their individual initiative, would do well to ponder on the resistance offered by the Algerians to the cream of France's military power. It may well give them a clue to the humiliations they have suffered during the past month, and ideas for dealing with the crises that lie ahead.

"The people," declares Claude Bourdet in last week's *France Observateur*, "have lost a battle. They have not lost the war." Yes, but they lost the battle because they did not fight. They will surely lose the "war" too if they continue to rely on the police, the C.R.S. and their political leaders to fight their battles for them.

persuading the people, independently of what the State may or may not want, to carry out a revolution in their own lives, and through that a revolution in society. It aims further at creating those conditions in which the people may manage their affairs directly, without the intervention of parties and parliaments . . . In the present world the State not only in its totalitarian form but also in its

means—it means of belief in all posed by force. right and the ab to decide anything him by his own fi co-operation with word *anarchist* c 'an' meaning 'with 'leader', and the C

Report on the Co-ops

expended on what passes as 'education' in the Co-op. Movement will be increased. Both these changes may be regarded as tending to make Co-op. leaders more representative of the membership as a whole. (The Commission would doubtless approve of this, since it apparently sets great store on leaders being representative and even naïvely assumes that the present active nucleus is generally representative of the membership—an assumption which could be exposed as a crass fallacy merely by attending one Co-op. meeting.) Other changes are likely to move in the opposite direction. Proportionately more employees will be elected as directors and, significantly enough, most of these will be employees of a *managerial* grade, not rank-and-file employees. Proportionately more directors active in politics, especially Labour politics, will be elected. And, finally, proportionately more directors will be

elected whose will stamp them middle, as oppo class.

No doubt the have argued if consider the m these possible co presents an insup amalgamation. would have bee that they are li radical change i Co-op. democrac

So far I have mation in terms democratic basis Despite the lip-se Co-op. tradition Commission, how concerned with gamation, it th efficiency. In my to show that it is this as it is about

(To be c

Direct Action

Moroccan dockers won their fight to prevent 910 French troops from landing in Casablanca to-day.

For five days they refused to let French soldiers, back from leave in France, set foot ashore. They cheered to-day as they watched the liner *Koutoubia* sail off with them.

It is the second batch of troops the dockers have sent back to France. Last week they stopped 210 men from landing.

The Moroccan Government is pressing for immediate evacuation of all 40,000 French forces. France has agreed to regroup most of her outposts but wants to be able to control Algeria's western border to avoid rebel infiltrations.

Moroccan workers have already refused to refine 2,000 tons of French Sahara oil. The oil has been taken into custody.

News Chronicle 28/5/58.

FRANCO-MUSLIM "UNITY"

ALGIERS, JUNE 1.

French headquarters claimed to-day to have killed 132 rebels in a battle in the mountains west of Algiers yesterday. The French conceded that an Air France Dakota which crashed in the same area yesterday may have been hit by rebel fire. It suddenly dived to the ground and caught fire, killing the fourteen people on board.—*Associated Press*.

FREED

SELECTED 'FREED'

Vol. 1, 1951, 1
Vol. 2, 1952, 2
Vol. 3, 1953, 3
Vol. 4, 1954, 4
Vol. 5, 1955, 5
Vol. 6, 1956, 6

each v

The paper edition available to read at 5

E. A. GUTKIN
The Expanding

VOLINE:
Nineteen-Seventeen
Revolution Bel
(Kronstadt 1917)
The Unknown

V. RICHARDS
Lessons of the

JOHN HEWETT
Ill-Health, Power

PEOPLE AND IDEAS

FINDING A WAY OUT

Julius Braunthal at his retirement from the Socialist International," I said. "What in ceasing to be a member of this when questions at a meeting Jayaprakash Narayan at the Hall in Fitzroy, a tall, handsome, in his fifties, had talked the same terms as in his at length in last but when members Indian students and questioned him it be- his retirement from his decision to work of socialism, made of discontent or resentful, I admired him for a leader. We, on interested in him for one.

of questions, were for lessons on the action (which is a of addressing a man for twenty years), into the possibility back. They felt, it they had been let and this feeling ex- a couple of years ta, who succeeded der of the Praja London. He was re of Indian social- of JP. In reply ements of Vinoba movement, the land and villages which ol their land: the of the whole con- "I wouldn't call this ism," said Asoka what socialism is

t week was anxious he had no quarrel colleagues. "If they m by parliamentary them do so." And vities in attempting the village level as the socialist move- rd limb," my neigh- pered, "its function her two!" I think wrong on this point. seeks to build a th from below is o conflict with the ks to capture the ate in order to use es. This conflict is

even practicable?

rection in Algiers ove to be not a French Algeria, pt by the *colons* inevitable achieve-

as old as the socialist movement itself. It was the conflict between the adherents of Marx and those of Bakunin in the First International and it is the difference between anarchism and political socialism to-day. JP must be perfectly aware of this conflict, and has made his choice. He declared several years ago that

"if our ultimate aim is to do without the State, we must here and now create the conditions in which the people will rely more and more on themselves and less and less on the State. No one can tell whether the State will ever completely disappear but if we accept the ideals of a non-violent democracy, we must begin to work for it. It need hardly be added that a people who want to do without the State or who wish to lean on it as little as possible would be a self-regulated people—self-disciplined, just and mutually co-operative . . . War is not likely to be abolished by governments. Only the people who have freed themselves from their governments can do it."

And in one of his village speeches, he made the opposition between his aims and those of parliamentary socialism even more clear:

"Bhoodan does not aim at capturing the State in order to use it for its ends. As a corollary, it does not wish to create or become a political party in order to capture the State. It aims rather at persuading the people, independently of what the State may or may not want, to carry out a revolution in their own lives, and through that a revolution in society. It aims further at creating those conditions in which the people may manage their affairs directly, without the intervention of parties and parliaments . . . In the present world the State not only in its totalitarian form but also in its

welfare variety is assuming larger and larger powers and responsibilities. The Welfare State, in the name of welfare threatens as much to enslave man to the State as does the totalitarian State. The people must cry a halt to this creeping paralysis . . ."

★

ANOTHER meeting in another city, and another one-time politico trying to explain how, to use Jayaprakash's words, "it became clear to me that politics could not deliver the goods". On March 1st, at the annual dinner of the League for Mutual Aid, in the Hotel Martinique, New York, Dwight Macdonald was explaining "Why I Am No Longer A Socialist". Like JP he described his evolution from the days when he was a revolutionary Marxist, and, after listing the defects of a great many isms, said: "To conclude on a somewhat more positive note, I want to say a few words in favour of anarchism, which is the only positive thing I can say now." He went on to discuss the disputes of the 1870's between Bakunin and Marx, concluding that in the light of subsequent history, Bakunin was right and Marx wrong, and contrasted his own definition of anarchism with that of the (American) Oxford dictionary:

"Now you all know what anarchism means—it means (to me at least) a lack of belief in all forms of authority imposed by force. And a belief in the right and the ability of the individual to decide anything that is important to him by his own free choice in voluntary co-operation with other people. The word *anarchist* comes from the Greek '*an*' meaning 'without', '*archist*' meaning 'leader', and the Oxford dictionary again

gives a wonderful definition of it: 'One who admits of no ruling power, one who upsets civil power, absence of government, a state of lawlessness due to the absence or inefficiency of the supreme power, political disorder.' Now this definition is absurd. This is the cartoonist's definition of anarchism, as being something which means disorder. It doesn't mean this at all".

He then answered in advance the kind of objection he was likely to get from his audience:

"If you did have to-morrow, suddenly, anarchy in the sense of all laws and all policemen being abolished overnight, by a magic ray or something, of course you would have disorder. But obviously this isn't what is meant; these precesses take a long time; you gradually build up from groups of people who assert themselves against control, but in co-operation with other people who will carry on if it ever happens. I'm not so sure it's going to happen. Actually, a great many things right now are carried on independently of the State: one's family relations, trade unions, chambers of commerce, and all kinds of things are carried on without any State control or State power. Kropotkin says, 'Harmony is obtained not by submission to law, by obedience to authority, but by free agreement between the various groups, territorial and professional, freely constituted for the sake of production and consumption, and also for the satisfaction of the infinite variety of needs and aspirations of a civilised being.' In other words, anarchists believe that this is a higher kind of order, more creative, enjoyable and productive . . ."

★

ALL pretty elementary stuff for Dwight, even in an after-dinner speech. But he evidently found, just like Jayaprakash Narayan, how hard it is, in talking to people whose ways of thought are essentially political, to convince them that you really mean what you are saying. JP indeed writes: "Almost every day some one or another raises the question with me why at all should I have given up politics. The faith and hope that the people seem to repose in politics appear pitiable to me, but I find it difficult to explain to every one individually the rationale of my action . . . The hold of politics on the mind of the people is such, and its alternative is in such an incipient state yet, that I shall not probably be able to persuade many . . ."

This incipient state of the alternatives to political and authoritarian institutions is the stumbling block which prevents both their growth and their serious acceptance as a worth-while aim for people who are anxious to bring about social change. And this is the reason for the extraordinary importance of all the exploration of alternatives represented by the Bhoodan movement in India, the Spanish collectives of 1936, the Jewish Kibbutzim, the French communities of work, even the producer co-operative movement in this country. What lessons can they teach us? What are the factors leading to their growth or decline? What would happen if the effort that is directed into political power-chasing were put instead into this kind of activity? These are the kind of questions to which the political evolution of people like Jayaprakash or Dwight Macdonald give rise. And they are questions for which the

Report on the Co-ops

Continued from p. 2

expended on what passes as 'education' in the Co-op. Movement will be increased. Both these changes may be regarded as tending to make Co-op. leaders more representative of the membership as a whole. (The Commission would doubtless approve of this, since it apparently sets great store on leaders being representative and even naïvely assumes that the present active nucleus is generally representative of the membership—an assumption which could be exposed as a crass fallacy merely by attending one Co-op. meeting.) Other changes are likely to move in the opposite direction. Proportionately more employees will be elected as directors and, significantly enough, most of these will be employees of a *managerial* grade, not rank-and-file employees. Proportionately more directors active in politics, especially Labour politics, will be elected. And, finally, proportionately more directors will be

elected whose occupational status will stamp them as members of the middle, as opposed to the working, class.

No doubt the Commission would have argued if it had deigned to consider the matter, that none of these possible consequential changes presents an insuperable objection to amalgamation. But at least they would have been forced to admit that they are likely to result in a radical change in the character of Co-op. democracy.

So far I have discussed amalgamation in terms of its effects on the democratic basis of the Movement. Despite the lip-service it pays to the Co-op. tradition in this respect, the Commission, however, is not much concerned with democracy. Amalgamation, it thinks, will increase efficiency. In my next article I hope to show that it is as muddled about this as it is about democracy.

... couple of years
...ta, who succeeded
...der of the Praja
... London. He was
...re of Indian social-
...on of JP. In reply
...vements of Vinoba
...ovement, the land
...usand villages which
...ol their land: the
...of the whole con-
...I wouldn't call this
...ialism," said Asoka
... what socialism is

...t week was anxious
...he had no quarrel
...olleagues. "If they
...m by parliamentary
...hem do so." And
...ities in attempting
...the village level as
...the socialist move-
...rd limb," my neigh-
...pered, "its function
...her two!" I think
...wrong on this point.
...seeks to build a
...alth from below is
...o conflict with the
...ks to capture the
...ate in order to use
...ses. This conflict is

...even practicable?
...rection in Algiers
...rove to be not a
...or French Algeria,
...pt by the *colons*
...ne inevitable achieve-
...ndependence. (It
...ificance that al-
...of the wealthiest
...ave left the coun-
...tunes of course!)
...ly" because with
...resistance move-
...ghts for a better
...ons, and not with
...but with its own
...es.

...illions of workers
...who are so well
...unions and their
...at they have lost
...itiative, would do
...on the resistance
...Algerians to the
...s military power.
...hem a clue to the
...y have suffered
...month, and ideas
...the crises that lie

...declares Claude
...week's *France*
...ve lost a battle.
...st the war." Yes,
...attle because they
...ey will surely lose
...they continue to
...e, the C.R.S. and
...ers to fight their

...persuading the people, independently of
...what the State may or may not want, to
...carry out a revolution in their own lives,
...and through that a revolution in society.
...It aims further at creating those condi-
...tions in which the people may manage
...their affairs directly, without the inter-
...vention of parties and parliaments . . .
...In the present world the State not only
...in its totalitarian form but also in its

...Now you all know what anarchism
...means—it means (to me at least) a lack
...of belief in all forms of authority im-
...posed by force. And a belief in the
...right and the ability of the individual
...to decide anything that is important to
...him by his own free choice in voluntary
...co-operation with other people. The
...word *anarchist* comes from the Greek
...'*an*' meaning 'without', '*archist*' meaning
...'*leader*', and the Oxford dictionary again

★ ALL pretty elementary stuff for
...Dwight, even in an after-dinner
...speech. But he evidently found, just like
...Jayaprakash Narayan, how hard it is, in
...talking to people whose ways of thought
...are essentially political, to convince them
...that you really mean what you are say-
...ing. JP indeed writes: "Almost every
...day some one or another raises the ques-
...tion with me why at all should I have
...given up politics. The faith and hope
...that the people seem to repose in politics
...appear pitiable to me, but I find it diffi-
...cult to explain to every one individually
...the rationale of my action . . . The hold
...of politics on the mind of the people is
...such, and its alternative is in such an
...incipient state yet, that I shall not
...probably be able to persuade many . . ."

This incipient state of the alternatives
...to political and authoritarian institutions
...is the stumbling block which prevents
...both their growth and their serious ac-
...ceptance as a worth-while aim for people
...who are anxious to bring about social
...change. And this is the reason for the
...extraordinary importance of all the
...exploration of alternatives represented
...by the Bhoodan movement in India, the
...Spanish collectives of 1936, the Jewish
...Kibbutzim, the French communities of
...work, even the producer co-operative
...movement in this country. What lessons
...can they teach us? What are the factors
...leading to their growth or decline? What
...would happen if the effort that is direc-
...ted into political power-chasing were put
...instead into this kind of activity? These
...are the kind of questions to which the
...political evolution of people like Jaya-
...prakash or Dwight Macdonald give rise.
...And they are questions for which the
...anarchists should be providing answers.

C.W.

Report on the Co-ops

Continued
from p. 2

expended on what passes as 'educa-
...tion' in the Co-op. Movement will
...be increased. Both these changes
...may be regarded as tending to make
...Co-op. leaders more representative
...of the membership as a whole. (The
...Commission would doubtless ap-
...prove of this, since it apparently sets
...great store on leaders being repre-
...sentative and even naïvely assumes
...that the present active nucleus is
...generally representative of the
...membership—an assumption which
...could be exposed as a crass fallacy
...merely by attending one Co-op.
...meeting.) Other changes are likely
...to move in the opposite direction.
...Proportionately more employees will
...be elected as directors and, signifi-
...cantly enough, most of these will be
...employees of a *managerial* grade,
...not rank-and-file employees. Pro-
...portionately more directors active in
...politics, especially Labour politics,
...will be elected. And, finally, pro-
...portionately more directors will be

elected whose occupational status
...will stamp them as members of the
...middle, as opposed to the working,
...class.

No doubt the Commission would
...have argued if it had deigned to
...consider the matter, that none of
...these possible consequential changes
...presents an insuperable objection to
...amalgamation. But at least they
...would have been forced to admit
...that they are likely to result in a
...radical change in the character of
...Co-op. democracy.

So far I have discussed amalga-
...mation in terms of its effects on the
...democratic basis of the Movement.
...Despite the lip-service it pays to the
...Co-op. tradition in this respect, the
...Commission, however, is not much
...concerned with democracy. Amal-
...gamation, it thinks, will increase
...efficiency. In my next article I hope
...to show that it is as muddled about
...this as it is about democracy.

GASTON GERARD.

(To be continued)

Direct Action

Moroccan dockers won their fight to
...prevent 910 French troops from landing
...in Casablanca to-day.

For five days they refused to let French
...soldiers, back from leave in France, set
...foot ashore. They cheered to-day as
...they watched the liner *Koutoubia* sail off
...with them.

It is the second batch of troops the
...dockers have sent back to France. Last
...week they stopped 210 men from land-
...ing.

The Moroccan Government is pressing
...for immediate evacuation of all 40,000
...French forces. France has agreed to
...regroup most of her outposts but wants
...to be able to control Algeria's western
...border to avoid rebel infiltrations.

Moroccan workers have already re-
...fused to refine 2,000 tons of French
...Sahara oil. The oil has been taken into
...custody.

News Chronicle 28/5/58.

FRANCO-MUSLIM "UNITY"

ALGIERS, JUNE 1.

French headquarters claimed to-day to
...have killed 132 rebels in a battle in the
...mountains west of Algiers yesterday.
...The French conceded that an Air France
...Dakota which crashed in the same area
...yesterday may have been hit by rebel
...fire. It suddenly dived to the ground
...and caught fire, killing the fourteen
...people on board.—*Associated Press*.

FREEDOM PRESS

SELECTIONS FROM 'FREEDOM'

- Vol. 1, 1951, *Mankind is One*
- Vol. 2, 1952, *Postscript to Posterity*
- Vol. 3, 1953, *Colonialism on Trial*
- Vol. 4, 1954, *Living on a Volcano*
- Vol. 5, 1955, *The Immoral Moralists*
- Vol. 6, 1956, *Oil and Troubled Waters*

each volume paper 7s. 6d.
cloth 10s. 6d.

The paper edition of the Selections is
...available to readers of *FREEDOM*
...at 5/- a copy

E. A. GUTKIND :

The Expanding Environment 8s. 6d.

VOLINE :

*Nineteen-Seventeen (The Russian
...Revolution Betrayed)* cloth 12s. 6d.
(*Kronstadt 1921, Ukraine 1918-21*)
The Unknown Revolution
cloth 12s. 6d.

V. RICHARDS :

*Lessons of the Spanish
...Revolution* 6s.

JOHN HEWETSON :

Ill-Health, Poverty and the State
cloth 2s. 6d., paper 1s.

RUDOLF ROCKER :

Nationalism and Culture cloth 21s.

MARIE-LOUISE BERNERI :

Neither East nor West
paper 7s. 6d.; cloth 10s. 6d.

HERBERT READ :

Art and the Evolution of Man 4s.
*Existentialism, Marxism and
...Anarchism* 3s. 6d.
Poetry and Anarchism
cloth 5s., paper 2s. 6d.
The Philosophy of Anarchism
boards 2s. 6d.
The Education of Free Men 1s.

ERRICO MALATESTA :

Anarchy 6d.
Vote—What For? 1d.

TONY GIBSON :

Who will do the Dirty Work? 2d.
★

Marie-Louise Berneri Memorial

Committee publications :

Marie-Louise Berneri, 1918-1949:
A Tribute cloth 1s.
Journey Through Utopia
cloth 18s. (U.S.A. \$3)

27, Red Lion Street,
London, W.C.1.

PAMPHLET REVIEW

THE PARTY SYSTEM

THE PARTY SYSTEM by Max Beloff. Background Books, 2s. 6d.

THIS is a very interestingly written pamphlet, and its author's political philosophy is given away in its first paragraph.

"All political societies except the most primitive present us with groupings to which the term 'political party' can be applied. For unless we imagine some Utopian state of affairs in which the interests of every citizen would be the same and his opinions identical with those of everyone else, it is inevitable that those whose interests or views most closely correspond should organise themselves in order to influence the decisions of the ruling authorities of the State."

It is more usual to attack Utopias roundly, on the grounds, amongst others, that people would be all identical in interests and opinions, rather than the concessive type of dismissal quoted above, but apart from that, we see that this essay sets out from the assumption that the most important matters in social life are to depend on "the decisions of the ruling authorities in the State", that such a governmental system is necessary and desirable and relatively fixed and stable in relation to social development. Add to these assumption the implicit subjective choice that democracy is the most desirable form for government to take, and then for 2s. 6d. and in 38 pages you get a nicely argued and well illustrated discussion of the rôle of party politics and the contrasting systems found within the democratic framework.

Beloff lets his knowledge, reasoning power, and ability to look at least some of the dishonesties of politics in the face take us as far as they can, without getting to the point of raising a serious doubt as to whether democratic government, or any form of government, really is the best possible way of organising social life. At the beginning of section II, which contrasts the political party systems in different European states, we find the reasonable comment "In discussing them, people naturally tend to regard what we have at home as the normal thing and what they find abroad as in some way odd or even undesirable". It is even more true, and far more important that people brought up on democracy "naturally tend to regard it as the normal thing, and the ideas of non-governmental organisation as in some way odd, or even undesirable".

Professor Beloff makes the points that

the traditional instability of French governments is not to any large degree a consequence of the electoral system, that the British system denies the Liberal party fair representation, and he admits that in France and Germany (and Italy is a further example) elected governments have altered the voting laws to increase their chances at subsequent elections. He accepts these facts without making any suggestions as to remedies for them.

That points to a weakness in his argument, which runs through that of all idealist advocates of democracy. They all realise that they are supporters of definite sectional interests, whose furtherance requires the sacrifice of the interests of large sections of the people, to be made in as little provocative way as possible, and although some of the politicians may have a little sincerity in them, and perhaps a few more of the sociologists who hang around them, their interests first and foremost are concerned with a strong and stable government, which will preserve respect for its instruments of authority. To present a façade in which it appears that the people have a legal control over the actions of the government is a secondary consideration.

I See No Ships!

"Probably not many people will set out along the road to conscientious objection in the present (Suez) crisis. We must hope, at any rate, that they may be few. . . ."

Manchester Guardian Editorial, 1/11/56.

"What has become of conscientious objectors to military service? . . . Perhaps the most surprising thing about them is that they are so few."

Manchester Guardian Reporter, 20/5/58.

Last week, in an Editorial headed "No room for religion", the *Manchester Guardian* deplored the intimidation of churchgoers in East Germany by the Communist rulers. The Communists, said the *Guardian*, invade Protestant Church Assemblies to dictate their "course of action".

In view of this display of feeling one might expect the *Guardian* editors to object more often and more strongly to the privileges we, in Britain, allow to the Roman Catholic Church which (in Spain for example) doesn't even allow Protestants to hold public Assemblies.

E.C.

Much of the pamphlet is devoted to attacks on the one-party system of the Bolshevik-dominated States, and the arguments on this topic are the least satisfactory. Perhaps even the author cannot define the difference between the 'totalitarian' and 'democratic' versions of government as clearly as he would like to be able to. At the same time as attacking the tactics of Communists in the West he remarks that

"In a sense, all parties are in favour not of a two-party or multi-party system, but of a one-party system. Their activity is directed towards getting as large a share of power for themselves as possible. Ideally they would like to capture every seat in every election; and it is only the existence of competitors that prevents this happening."

Surely this is not a good way of expressing the situation in Britain. The politicians here, and in the stable democracies want a monopoly of power as much as any others, but they seek to keep it within a system, and not within a single party. All states exist as means by which a minority of the population can coerce the rest in pursuance of their interests, and it happens that in stable economic and political periods and situations, the multi-party system is the most effective way of doing this. It is more flexible, provides opportunities for those who want to rise within the system to improve it, and deviates the energies of potential revolutionaries. But it is just as difficult for individuals who are opposed to the system to do anything effective to change it as under a dictatorship. The existence of 'democratic' freedoms is something to accept with relief, but they are thanks to the economic situation, and not to the party system.

It would be interesting if all theorists of democracy were asked to state which of these they thought was the prime aim of democracy. (a) To express as closely as possible the opinion of the majority of people as to how they should be governed. (b) To provide strong, effective government. If Professor Beloff had chosen to discuss such a problem as that, it might have taken him out of the realms of "Background Books" into that of controversy, but it would have been a more interesting work.

P.H.

New Law Reform Society

A Homosexual Law Reform Society has been formed. Its objective is to get the major recommendation of the Wolfenden Report on private acts between consenting adults made law. Among the committee members are Dr. J. Bronowski, Sir Basil Henriques, Miss Ethel Mannin, Lord Russell, Professor A. J. Ayer, Sir Robert Boothby, Mr. R. H. S. Crossman and Mr. Marcus Lipton.

The society believes that "our present law is unjust and no longer acceptable to medical opinion, the leading spokesmen of the Christian Churches, or to humane good sense in general."

Manchester Guardian 30/5/58

THE LIM

I THINK we answers to the "The Limitations of DOM 10/5/58). H are only the first questions.

Reich and Neill "regulation" is (the education was pro by a few people) a the behaviour of th during the very fir istence (and even with a rigid body b say what effect the on the new born *Free Child*). One is to let the child timetable, feeding l point one shall ne as a lot of liber don't practise it.

Of course, the a like anarchism, se to a minority of e

Therefore, how educated? I belie I do not know a being) through a t type—a psychoan enough for some p writes himself s therapy with Reich good than two y analysis.

People who wou

Funct
Free

FREEDOM'S ed last week (7/6 out that his views be shared by other giving an entirely his was quoted a give another.

S.F. seems to about the function indeed, without a after a column of what the function Surely a dispassion ing over a propos would establish th

It has been sugg several occasions providing a forum ian ideas the main chist paper is to

To some convince equally convinced t answers, the preser with "an anarchist be unnecessarily r reader who has r exalted position, o who knows even and political plottin national government often made even daily newspapers, a level by anarchist

It seems essenti constant examples honesty and discre be given in any

The Bus Strike in Perspective

Continued from p. 1

the disputed point. In a Nationalisation Welfare State things are not so straightforward. The State is the employer of a large proportion of workers, and therefore their enemy in industrial disputes

and to feel that the strike is having very little real effect.

The problem of sympathetic action is also tied up with the different points of view of workers and union leaders. The

phen subjective choice that democracy is the most desirable form for government to take, and then for 2s. 6d. and in 38 pages you get a nicely argued and well illustrated discussion of the rôle of party politics and the contrasting systems found within the democratic framework.

Beloff lets his knowledge, reasoning power, and ability to look at least some of the dishonesties of politics in the face take us as far as they can, without getting to the point of raising a serious doubt as to whether democratic government, or any form of government, really is the best possible way of organising social life. At the beginning of section II, which contrasts the political party systems in different European states, we find the reasonable comment "In discussing them, people naturally tend to regard what we have at home as the normal thing and what they find abroad as in some way odd or even undesirable". It is even more true, and far more important that people brought up on democracy "naturally tend to regard it as the normal thing, and the ideas of non-governmental organisation as in some way odd, or even undesirable".

Professor Beloff makes the points that

"Probably not many people will set out along the road to conscientious objection in the present (Suez) crisis. We must hope, at any rate, that they may be few. . . ."

Manchester Guardian Editorial,
1/11/58.

"What has become of conscientious objectors to military service? . . . Perhaps the most surprising thing about them is that they are so few."

Manchester Guardian Reporter,
20/5/58.

* * *

Last week, in an Editorial headed "No room for religion", the *Manchester Guardian* deplored the intimidation of churchgoers in East Germany by the Communist rulers. The Communists, said the *Guardian*, invade Protestant Church Assemblies to dictate their "course of action".

In view of this display of feeling one might expect the *Guardian* editors to object more often and more strongly to the privileges we, in Britain, allow to the Roman Catholic Church which (in Spain for example) doesn't even allow Protestants to hold public Assemblies.

E.C.

The Bus Strike in Perspective

Continued from p. 1

the disputed point. In a Nationalisation Welfare State things are not so straightforward. The State is the employer of a large proportion of workers, and therefore their enemy in industrial disputes. At this point the theory of socialism breaks down, since all socialists regard the State as being somehow or other their saviour, whatever reservations they may have. But if the new enemy is more formidable, he does at least present a wider target. If the real concern of the bus strike organisers had been to win this particular dispute, they would have found out, by study and experiment, the best possible ways of hurting the government, avoiding hurt to the workers, and minimising the kind of inconvenience to ordinary people which does not contribute to the pressure on the government. Some of the ways of doing this were suggested in *FREEDOM* 17/5/58, one day strikes in a different area every day, refusal to collect fares, which could have caused dislocation in the City, upset the L.T.E. plans, besides showing to everyone that the bus workers were an essential part of the smooth organisation of travel in London. Guerrilla strikes would also have been far more effective in keeping away from work those timidly conscientious people who go to any inconvenience to themselves so as to get to work on time.

Instead of this, Cousins makes his pronouncement: "The workers are going to strike. Officially." But those words caused no chaos among employers and civil servants. They simply made arrangements to manage without the buses. Many bus workers must now be reflecting on the fact that there is no power behind the gesture of a strike, and without that, no power behind the threat. There can be nothing more demoralising than to be living on meagre strike pay

and to feel that the strike is having very little real effect.

The problem of sympathetic action is also tied up with the different points of view of workers and union leaders. The latter, while accepting the bus workers' strike, do not even at this stage want to jeopardise the negotiating machinery in their own fields. To put it briefly, political attitudes and industrial needs are clashing at every point, and the politicians are getting the upper hand, to the loss of the workers.

To those workers who are getting tired of this, and who see their differences with the union officials as being more than different opinions, but different interests, anarchism can offer the following points for consideration.

A permanent collaboration between State and workers is impossible, as their interests conflict. This is being made more and more obvious to-day.

To achieve its ends the State will use industrial action, for what else is the State but an organisation for forcing people to do work against their desires. Therefore the workers should be prepared to use it both in defence and attack.

The trade unions are so politically compromised that the only useful way of organising for industrial fights is on a local temporary level.

The union leaders are concerned with so many matters irrelevant to the workers' needs that it is futile to wait for them to arrange the sympathetic action which could win the present bus strike. It seems at the moment that the only good that can possibly come out of it will be if enough unofficial groups can exert influence to secure their ends—in defiance not only of the L.T.E. but of the transport union as well.

P.H.

improve it, and deviates the energies of potential revolutionaries. But it is just as difficult for individuals who are opposed to the system to do anything effective to change it as under a dictatorship. The existence of 'democratic' freedoms is something to accept with relief, but they are thanks to the economic situation, and not to the party system.

It would be interesting if all theorists of democracy were asked to state which of these they thought was the prime aim of democracy. (a) To express as closely as possible the opinion of the majority of people as to how they should be governed. (b) To provide strong, effective government. If Professor Beloff had chosen to discuss such a problem as that, it might have taken him out of the realms of "Background Books" into that of controversy, but it would have been a more interesting work.

P.H.

New Law Reform Society

A Homosexual Law Reform Society has been formed. Its objective is to get the major recommendation of the Wolfenden Report on private acts between consenting adults made law. Among the committee members are Dr. J. Bronowski, Sir Basil Henriques, Miss Ethel Mannin, Lord Russell, Professor A. J. Ayer, Sir Robert Boothby, Mr. R. H. S. Crossman and Mr. Marcus Lipton.

The society believes that "our present law is unjust and no longer acceptable to medical opinion, the leading spokesmen of the Christian Churches, or to humane good sense in general."

Manchester Guardian 30/5/58.

What a Deficit!

PROGRESS OF A DEFICIT! WEEK 23

Deficit on <i>Freedom</i>	£460
Contributions received	£316
DEFICIT	£144

May 31 to June 5

Bakewell: D.M.* 5/-; London: S.B.* 1/9;
London: T.F. 5/-; Minneapolis: C.C. 7/-;
London: P.F. £1/0/0; Horwich: W.H.B. 4/6;
London: D.R.* 10/-; London: W.H.T. 2/6;
Glasgow: J.M. 2/6; London: D.O. 10/6.

Total ... 3 8 9
Previously acknowledged ... 313 2 10

1958 TOTAL TO DATE ... £316 11 7

GIFT OF BOOKS: London: F.P.

*Indicates regular contributor.

• Have you found that
Reader for **FREEDOM**
• Have you glanced at
State of the Deficit?

Function Free

FREEDOM'S editor last week (7/6) pointed out that his views should be shared by others, giving an entirely correct answer. His was quoted as giving another.

S.F. seems to be about the function, indeed, without after a column, what the function. Surely a dispassionate ing over a proposed would establish this.

It has been suggested on several occasions providing a forum for ideas the main anarchist paper is to To some convinced equally convinced answers, the present with "an anarchist be unnecessarily reader who has exalted position, who knows even and political plotting national government often made even daily newspapers, a level by anarchist.

It seems essential constant examples honesty and disregard be given in any and one of the be to utilise the rich thrown out by apart with anarchically speaking this tion of the facts from an anarchist.

For the poetry one) and the humble modest to give Fables of my devastation could form a group publishing a quarterly magazine which WEEKLY (or quarterly) FOR THE DISSENT ARCHIST POETRY al note, which contributions, could issue to the effect considered they witty prose should scripts to the editor plenty of material baskets.

London.

REVIEW

Y SYSTEM

ility of French
ny large degree a
toral system, that
nies the Liberal
n, and he admits
rmany (and Italy
cted governments
aws to increase
ent elections. He
hout making any
dies for them.

kness in his argu-
ough that of all
democracy. They
are supporters of
ts, whose further-
ce of the interests
he people, to be
vocative way as
h some of the
little sincerity in
few more of the
round them, their
most are concerned
able government,
respect for its
ty. To present a
ars that the people
ver the actions of
ondary considera-

Much of the pamphlet is devoted to attacks on the one-party system of the Bolshevik-dominated States, and the arguments on this topic are the least satisfactory. Perhaps even the author cannot define the difference between the 'totalitarian' and 'democratic' versions of government as clearly as he would like to be able to. At the same time as attacking the tactics of Communists in the West he remarks that

"In a sense, all parties are in favour not of a two-party or multi-party system, but of a one-party system. Their activity is directed towards getting as large a share of power for themselves as possible. Ideally they would like to capture every seat in every election; and it is only the existence of competitors that prevents this happening."

Surely this is not a good way of expressing the situation in Britain. The politicians here, and in the stable democracies want a monopoly of power as much as any others, but they seek to keep it within a system, and not within a single party. All states exist as means by which a minority of the population can coerce the rest in pursuance of their interests, and it happens that in stable economic and political periods and situations, the multi-party system is the most effective way of doing this. It is more flexible, provides opportunities for those who want to rise within the system to improve it, and deviates the energies of potential revolutionaries. But it is just as difficult for individuals who are opposed to the system to do anything effective to change it as under a dictatorship. The existence of 'democratic' freedoms is something to accept with relief, but they are thanks to the economic situation, and not to the party system.

It would be interesting if all theorists of democracy were asked to state which of these they thought was the prime aim of democracy. (a) To express as closely as possible the opinion of the majority of people as to how they should be governed. (b) To provide strong, effective government. If Professor Beloff had chosen to discuss such a problem as that, it might have taken him out of the realms of "Background Books" into that of controversy, but it would have been a more interesting work. P.H.

New Law Reform Society

A Homosexual Law Reform Society has been formed. Its objective is to get the major recommendation of the Wolfenden Report on private acts between consenting adults made law. Among the committee members are Dr. J. Bronowski, Sir Basil Henriques, Miss Ethel Mannin, Lord Russell, Professor A. J. Ayer, Sir Robert Boothby, Mr. R. H. S. Crossman and Mr. Marcus Lipton.

The society believes that "our present law is unjust and no longer acceptable to medical opinion, the leading spokesmen of the Christian Churches, or to humane good sense in general."

ective

rike is having very

mpathetic action is
different points of

LETTER TO THE EDITORS

THE LIMITATIONS OF ANARCHISM

I THINK we already know some answers to the questions asked in "The Limitations of Anarchism" (FREEDOM 10/5/58). However these answers are only the first steps to some other questions.

Reich and Neill have taught what "self regulation" is (though this system of education was probably known before by a few people) and the importance of the behaviour of the mother to her child during the very first minutes of its existence (and even before, "if a woman with a rigid body bears a child, who can say what effect the maternal rigidity has on the new born baby?"—Neill, *The Free Child*). One of the main points is to let the child organize itself its own timetable, feeding little by little, and this point one shall never repeat too much, as a lot of libertarian-minded people don't practise it.

Of course, the answer to this is that, like anarchism, self regulation appeals to a minority of exceptional people.

Therefore, how can a grown-up be educated? I believe the answer is (and I do not know any other for the time being) through a therapy of a Reichian type—a psychoanalysis may not be enough for some people at least—Neill writes himself somewhere that his therapy with Reich did him much more good than two years of verbal psychoanalysis.

People who would have learned how

Function of Freedom

FREEDOM'S editorial reply to S.F. last week (7/6/59) was wise to point out that his views may not necessarily be shared by other readers. One example giving an entirely different opinion from his was quoted and I would like to give another.

S.F. seems to be primarily confused about the function of FREEDOM and, indeed, without an intellectual blush, after a column of word-spinning, asks what the function of FREEDOM really is. Surely a dispassionate reformer enthusing over a proposed jazz-up of the paper would establish this point first.

It has been suggested in FREEDOM on several occasions that in addition to providing a forum for discussing libertarian ideas the main function of an anarchist paper is to propagate anarchism. To some convinced anarchists who are equally convinced that they know all the answers, the presentation of news items with "an anarchist slant" may appear to be unnecessarily repetitive. But to the reader who has not yet reached this exalted position, or to the new reader who knows even less about anarchism and political plotting, national and international governmental policies, which are often made even more confusing by daily newspapers, are reduced to a proper level by anarchist analysis.

It seems essential to this writer that constant examples of governmental dishonesty and disregard of people should be given in any anarchist newspaper.

to suppress their bodily tension would naturally choose to educate their children in a "self regulated" way, and the children themselves (if not the parents yet) could restore their lost unity with nature and build a free society.

Therefore the real problem is this one, how can people understand they need a therapy of a Reichian type? You may answer, "Here we come back to the starting point." So many people hate in fact to recover contact with nature and are imprisoned in the circle of hate.

But what do Reichian psychologists do about it? How do they try to propagate their ideas? That I do not know. One hears of Reich very seldom in France.

I only think it would be a good idea if anarchists had more contacts with Reichians, and if all the libertarians who want to went through a therapy of the Reichian type. *Then they could help rigid people they meet in the day-to-day life much more efficiently.* They could even be therapists themselves without having a surgery-house and through practising another job at the same time.

By the way, let me add that *I do not believe* of course in the Freudian theory (related to the so-called "principle of reality") according to which it is part of the therapy to pay a lot of money for it. If the "anti-rigid" psychologists could cure people for just as little money as possible, maybe it could help more people?

Paris.

COLETTE GERMAIN.

MEETINGS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP

Every Sunday at 7.30 at
THE MALATESTA CLUB.

32 Percy Street,
Tottenham Court Road, W.1.

LECTURE - DISCUSSIONS

JUNE 15.—Philip Holgate on
WHAT CAN ANARCHISTS DO?

JUNE 22.—John Greig on
FREEDOM & ITS APPLICATION

JUNE 29.—Tony Gibson on
PARANOIA AS A SOCIAL FORCE
July 6.—Arthur W. Uloth on
MAN AGAINST SOCIETY

JULY 13.—Donovan Pedelty on
ANARCHISM & DEMOCRACY

Questions, Discussion and Admission
all free.

★ Malatesta Club ★

SWARAJ HOUSE,
32 PERCY STREET,
TOTTENHAM COURT ROAD, LONDON, W.1.

ACTIVITIES

Every Sunday at 7.30 p.m.
London Anarchist Group Meetings
(see Announcements Column)

Ships!

people will set to conscientious (Suez) crisis. We, that they may be

Guardian Editorial, 1/11/56.

of conscientious service? . . . Per- rising thing about o few."

Guardian Reporter, 20/5/58.

* Editorial headed "No the Manchester the intimidation of Germany by the The Communists, invade Protestant to dictate their

play of feeling one Guardian editors to and more strongly to Britain, allow to Church which (in doesn't even allow public Assemblies.

E.C.

ective

strike is having very

sympathetic action is e different points of union leaders. The ing the bus workers' at this stage want to ating machinery in put it briefly, poli- industrial needs are point, and the politi- upper hand, to the

who are getting tired ee their differences als as being more ions, but different an offer the follow- eration.

laboration between impossible, as their his is being made ous to-day.

is the State will use or what else is the isation for forcing against their desires. ers should be pre- th in defence and

s are so politically he only useful way dustrial fights is on vel.

are concerned with elevant to the work- s futile to wait for e sympathetic action e present bus strike. oment that the only ibly come out of it unofficial groups can ecare their ends—in f the L.T.E. but of as well. P.H.

flexible, provides opportunities for those who want to rise within the system to improve it, and deviates the energies of potential revolutionaries. But it is just as difficult for individuals who are opposed to the system to do anything effective to change it as under a dictatorship. The existence of 'democratic' freedoms is something to accept with relief, but they are thanks to the economic situation, and not to the party system.

It would be interesting if all theorists of democracy were asked to state which of these they thought was the prime aim of democracy. (a) To express as closely as possible the opinion of the majority of people as to how they should be governed. (b) To provide strong, effective government. If Professor Beloff had chosen to discuss such a problem as that, it might have taken him out of the realms of "Background Books" into that of controversy, but it would have been a more interesting work. P.H.

New Law Reform Society

A Homosexual Law Reform Society has been formed. Its objective is to get the major recommendation of the Wolfenden Report on private acts between consenting adults made law. Among the committee members are Dr. J. Bronowski, Sir Basil Henriques, Miss Ethel Mannin, Lord Russell, Professor A. J. Ayer, Sir Robert Boothby, Mr. R. H. S. Crossman and Mr. Marcus Lipton.

The society believes that "our present law is unjust and no longer acceptable to medical opinion, the leading spokesmen of the Christian Churches, or to humane good sense in general."

Manchester Guardian 30/5/58.

What a Deficit!

PROGRESS OF A DEFICIT! WEEK 23

Deficit on Freedom	£460
Contributions received	£316
DEFICIT	£144

May 31 to June 5

Bakewell: D.M.* 5/-; London: S.B.* 1/9;
London: T.F. 5/-; Minneapolis: C.C. 7/-;
London: P.F. £1/0/0; Horwich: W.H.B. 4/6;
London: D.R.* 10/-; London: W.H.T. 2/6;
Glasgow: J.M. 2/6; London: D.O. 10/6.

Total ... 3 8 9
Previously acknowledged ... 313 2 10

1958 TOTAL TO DATE ... £316 11 7

GIFT OF BOOKS: London: F.P.

*Indicates regular contributor.

Function of Freedom

FREEDOM'S editorial reply to S.F. last week (7/6/59) was wise to point out that his views may not necessarily be shared by other readers. One example giving an entirely different opinion from his was quoted and I would like to give another.

S.F. seems to be primarily confused about the function of FREEDOM and, indeed, without an intellectual blush, after a column of word-spinning, asks what the function of FREEDOM really is. Surely a dispassionate reformer enthusing over a proposed jazz-up of the paper would establish this point first.

It has been suggested in FREEDOM on several occasions that in addition to providing a forum for discussing libertarian ideas the main function of an anarchist paper is to propagate anarchism. To some convinced anarchists who are equally convinced that they know all the answers, the presentation of news items with "an anarchist slant" may appear to be unnecessarily repetitive. But to the reader who has not yet reached this exalted position, or to the new reader who knows even less about anarchism and political plotting, national and international governmental policies, which are often made even more confusing by daily newspapers, are reduced to a proper level by anarchist analysis.

It seems essential to this writer that constant examples of governmental dishonesty and disregard of people should be given in any anarchist newspaper, and one of the best ways to do this is to utilise the rich daily supply of "meat" thrown out by politicians and tear it apart with anarchist teeth. Journalistically speaking this involves a presentation of the facts and their examination from an anarchist point of view.

For the poetry lovers (of which I am one) and the humourists (I am much too modest to give FREEDOM readers examples of my devastating wit), perhaps S.F. could form a group for the purpose of publishing a quarterly (or weekly?) magazine which could be entitled—A WEEKLY (or quarterly) PUBLICATION FOR THE DISSEMINATION OF ANARCHIST POETRY & WIT. An editorial note, which would ensure regular contributions, could be printed with each issue to the effect that all people who considered they could write poetry or witty prose should submit their manuscripts to the editors; this should ensure plenty of material to fill the wastepaper baskets.

London.

A READER.

people?
Paris.

COLETTE GERMAIN.

MEETINGS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

LONDON ANARCHIST GROUP

Every Sunday at 7.30 at
THE MALATESTA CLUB.

32 Percy Street,
Tottenham Court Road, W.1.

LECTURE - DISCUSSIONS

JUNE 15.—Philip Holgate on
WHAT CAN ANARCHISTS DO?

JUNE 22.—John Greig on
FREEDOM & ITS APPLICATION

JUNE 29.—Tony Gibson on
PARANOIA AS A SOCIAL FORCE

July 6.—Arthur W. Uloth on
MAN AGAINST SOCIETY

JULY 13.—Donovan Pedelty on
ANARCHISM & DEMOCRACY

Questions, Discussion and Admission
all free.

★ Malatesta Club ★

SWARAJ HOUSE,

32 PERCY STREET,

TOTTENHAM COURT ROAD, LONDON, W.1.

ACTIVITIES

Every Sunday at 7.30 p.m.

London Anarchist Group Meetings
(see Announcements Column)

Trad Jazz at the Malatesta

Every Friday and Saturday from 7.30

THE MALATESTA JAZZ BAND

Members(1/6) and their guests (2/-) only.

MALATESTA CLUB

32 Percy Street

Tottenham Court Road W1

Jazz Men welcome
Organised by IAC

Every Wednesday at 7.30 (prompt)

BONAR THOMPSON speaks

FREEDOM

The Anarchist Weekly

Postal Subscription Rates:

12 months 19/- (U.S.A. \$3.00)

6 months 9/6 (U.S.A. \$1.50)

3 months 5/- (U.S.A. \$0.75)

Special Subscription Rates for 2 copies

12 months 29/- (U.S.A. \$4.50)

6 months 14/6 (U.S.A. \$2.25)

Cheques, P.O.'s and Money Orders should be made out to FREEDOM PRESS, crossed a/c Payee, and addressed to the publishers

FREEDOM PRESS

27 Red Lion Street

London, W.C.1. England

Tel.: Chancery 8364

• Have you found that new
Reader for FREEDOM?

• Have you glanced at the
State of the Deficit?